### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 201351398

 Issue No.:
 3002

 Case No.:
 Issue

 Hearing Date:
 July 3, 2013

 County:
 Livingston

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 3, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included terms of the claimant included terms of term

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly reduce the Claimant's monthly Food Assistance Program (FAP) allotment?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was an ongoing recipient of monthly FAP benefits in the amount of \$
- 2. The Claimant began to receive weekly, unearned income in the amount of
- 3. On May 28, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action informing the Claimant that her monthly allotment of FAP was now reduced to **\$ because** her net unearned income had changed.

4. On May 30, 2013, the Department received the Claimant's written hearing request protesting the reduction in her monthly FAP allotment.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

In this case, the Claimant did not contest that she received the income the Department budgeted at the time the Department took action in her case. The Claimant maintained that her rent had now increased from **\$ a month** to **\$ a month** and that she simply could not feed herself on a **\$ a month** allotment. The Claimant did not contest any of the figures the Department used in calculating her monthly FAP allotment, though she did testify that she was unsure that her unearned income would continue.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 505 instructs the Departments workers on how to process an income change. In this case, the Claimant began to receive weekly workers compensation benefits. Per BEM 505, p. 6, the Department converted the Claimant's weekly unearned income to a standard amount by multiplying it by 4.3 to arrive at the figure in the budget. The Department also allowed for the Claimant's from monthly rental expense and allowed for the standard heat and utility deduction. These facts are not contested. As such, the Administrative Law Judge determines that when the Department took action to reduce the Claimant's monthly FAP allotment due to her increased income, the Department was acting in accordance with its policy.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law finds that the Department  $\square$  did act properly when reducing the Claimant's monthly FAP allotment.  $\square$  did not act properly when.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP K FAP AA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED.

/s/

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 7/9/13

Date Mailed: 7/9/13

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### SEH/tb

CC:			