STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	1005, 2006, 3008 July 2, 2013 Kent
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully		
HEARING DEC	ISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on July 2, 2013, from behalf of Claimant included and behalf of Department of Human Services (Department).	for a hearing. om Lansing, Michig	After due notice, a
ISSUE		
Due to a failure to comply with the verification properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☐ close benefits for:		
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? 		Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	<u>FACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimon		•
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☒FIP ☒FAP ☒MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.		
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Redetermination (DHS-1010), and was required to submit requested verification by May 13, 2013.		

 3. On May 17, 2003, the Department sent notice of the denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
 On May 29, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).
The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes the completion of necessary forms. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 105 (March 1, 2013). Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. Verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level when it is required by policy, required as a local office option, or information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete, or contradictory. The Department uses documents, collateral contacts, or home calls to verify information. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (May 1, 2012).

On April 15, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a Redetermination (DHS-1010) with a due date of May 13, 2013. When this form was not returned, the Department sent the Claimant a Notice of Missed Interview (DHS-254) that included notice that it was the Claimant's duty to contact the Department and reschedule an interview to review her eligibility to receive benefits.

The Claimant argued that she did not receive the Redetermination form because she had recently moved and the form was addressed to her previous address.

The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. Stacey v Sankovich, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In this case, the Claimant failed to establish that she reported a change of residence in a timely manner and that the Department forms were not properly addressed based on the information she had provided. Therefore the Claimant failed to rebut the presumption of receipt.

presumption of receipt.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department
☑ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: 07/08/2013
Date Mailed: <u>07/08/2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/sw

CC: