STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:
Issue No.:
Case No.:
Hearing Date:
County:

2013-47715 2001

July 16, 2013 Macomb County DHS #36

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne Morris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J uly 16, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claim ant. Claimant was assisted in translation to and from Arabic by Eligibility Specialist. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly \square deny the Claimant's applic ation Close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:

_

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant

applied for benefits for: received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
 - State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

201347715/SLM

- On May 2, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
- On May 2, 2013, the Department sent
 ☐ Claimant
 ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
 notice of the
 ☐ denial.
 ☐ closure.
 ☐ reduction.
- 4. On May 10, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. □ closure of the case. □ reduction of benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass ista	nce
for disabled persons, is establis hed by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly kno	wn
as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M	CL
400.10, <i>et seq</i> ., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the claimant is a 10% owner of Sam's Smoker's Center, a corporation (see exhibits 31 and 32). BEM 400 states that a ssets must be considered in determining eligibility for AMP. The AMP asset limit is \$3000. While the department did consider the income from the business, they did not consider the asset value of the claimant's stock ownership. T herefore, the depart tment should value t he claimant's stock ownership to determine if the claimant is excess as sets, prior to even getting to the income question. It is noted that a verification chec klist may be necessar y to gather information on the value of the stock and that the client must comply with all requests for information to allow the department to determine the value of the stock.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La income, the Department properly w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess

 \boxtimes denied Claimant's application

reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly idd not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP ARA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Begin an asset determination to determine if claimant is excess assets to receive AMP benefits (before reaching the income determination) and notify claimant of the outcome.

/s/

Suzanne Morris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 18, 2013

Date Mailed: July 18, 2013

201347715/SLM

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SLM/hj

