STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201346514 6019 July 17, 2013 Washtenaw		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 17, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Begin Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and Family 10.9 and Family Independence Manager, Comparison of the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and Family 10.9 and Family Independence Manager, Comparison of the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MC				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:				
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Ass ☐ State Disability A ☑ Child Developme	ssistance (SDÁ)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
 Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for: 				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).		sistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2. On March 24, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case

due to excess income.

	On March 5, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On May 6, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Res 42 Age	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is olemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Sec The Age	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Sei pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.
and 199 The	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Date Mailed: 7/19/13

In this case, the Claimant did not contest the figures contained in the Department's budget. The Claimant testified that she understood that the Department must budget her gross income, though the entire gross income is not available to her. The Claimant testified that she pursued the hearing as she really needs the benefit.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 503 (2013) p. 5, defines child support income as unearned income. BEM 501 (2012) p. 5, defines wages as earned income and BEM 500 (2013) p. 2, requires that gross amounts of income be counted. The Claimant does not contest that the Department budgeted her income according to its policy. As such, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the Department was acting according to its policy when taking action to close the Claimant's case for excess income.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusic stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge properly denied Claimant's application improper properly closed Claimant's case impropers AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.	concludes that the Department		
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above F of Law finds that the Department $oxed{\boxtimes}$ did act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☒ CDC decision is ☒ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED.			
<i>!</i> Date Signed: <u>7/18/13</u>	Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services		

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

201346514/SEH

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

