STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201343190 2001 July 24, 2013 Macomb 12
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E	. Harris	
HEARING DI	<u>ECISION</u>	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on July 24, 2013, behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included Eligible.	est for a hearing. from Lansing, Michi Participants on b <u>eh</u>	After due notice, a
ISSU	E	
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claima for:	nt's application 🗌 c	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS C	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		rial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ receive	d benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
2. On April 18, 2013 , the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application ☐ clo	osed Claimant's case	

due to excess income.

201343190/seh

	On April 18, 2013, the Department sent ☑ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☑ denial. ☐ closure.
_	On April 23, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
•	artment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the ges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Resp 42 U Agen throu	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, JSC 601, <i>et seq.</i> The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ugh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ctive October 1, 1996.
prog imple Rege Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is emented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ugh Rule 400.3015.
Secu The Age	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL .105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is inistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for of Serv prog	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human vices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule .3180.
and 1990 The and	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 0, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 14(1) and 1999 AC. R 400 5001 through Rule 400 5015

In this case, it is not contested that the Claimant's husband receives \$\text{in monthly RSDI}\$ income and none of the figures contained in the budget were disputed. The Claimant testified that she has many expenses, particularly medical expenses. Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 640 (2012) p. 4 provides that the only allowable deductions from income are \$deduction from a program group members gross earnings and then a 20% deduction from the remaining earnings and a deduction for court-ordered support paid by group members. There are no other allowable deductions in an AMP budget. BEM 640 p. 3 provides that income eligibility for AMP exists when the program group's net income does not exceed the program group's AMP income limit. The AMP income limits are in RFT 236. RFT 236 provides that for an individual and spouse, the income limit is \$\text{which is what the Department documented in the budget. It is not contested that the Claimant has no earnings and no court-ordered support expenses and as such, none were counted and no deductions were allowed. As the Claimant's husband receives monthly RSDI in the amount of \$\text{this Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department was acting in accordance with its policy when denying the Claimant's application for AMP due to excess income.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: 7/25/13

Date Mailed: 7/25/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

201343190/seh

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

