#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 201333899

Issue No: 4031

Case No:

Hearing Date: July 18, 2013

Midland County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Cla imant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on July 18, 2013. Claimant appeared and provided testimony on his behalf. Par ticipants on behalf of the Department of Human Service s (Department) included

#### <u>ISSUE</u>

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant applied for SDA on November 26, 2012, was denied on March 4, 2013 per BEM 261, and requested a hearing on March 4, 2013.
- Vocational factors: Age 43, GED and come college education, and unskilled/semiskilled work experience.
- Last employment ended in 2006.
- 4. Disabling symptoms: An ti-social, does not like being around people; chronic pain throughout whole body, and fatigue due to medications; and limited to lifting/carrying the weight of six gallons of milk.
- 5. Alleged disabling medical disorder(s): schizophrenia, depression, bipo lar, and hepatitis C. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 96).
- 6. Medical reports of exams state the claimant on:

August 8, 2011: Had a GAF score of 55. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 6).

June 13, 2012: Has a GAF score of 45-50. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 72).

August 22, 2012: Cannot work for six months. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 83).

April 26, 2013: Has a GAF score of 42. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 51).

7. SHRT report dated May 29, 2013 st ates the Cla imant's impairments do not meet/equal a Soc ial Security listing for the required duration. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 96)

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridge es Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

## **DISABILITY**

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he:

- . receives other specified disability-related benefits or services, or
- resides in a qualified S pecial Living Arrangement facility, or
- is certified as unable t o work due to mental or physical disability f or at least 90 d ays from the onset of the disability.
- . is diagnos ed as hav ing Ac quired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS).

If the client's circumstances change so t hat the basis of his/her disability is no longer valid, determine if he/she meets any of the other disability crit eria. Do NO T simply initiate case closure. BEM, Item 261, p. 1.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity

of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the anal ysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform oth er work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpar t P, Appendix 2, Sec tions 200.00-204.00? If yes, the anal ysis ends and the c lient is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

The claimant had the burden of proof to establish disability in accordance with steps 1-4 above... 20CFR 416.912 (a). The burden of proof shifts to the DHS at Step 5... 20CFR 416.960 (c)(2).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical verification sources are licensed physicians, osteopaths, or certified psychologists ...20CFR 416.913(a)

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effe cts of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

# Step 1

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your m edical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

The evidence of record established that the claimant has not engaged in substantial gainful activity since 2006. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to continue to the next step.

## Step 2

... [The re cord must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

**Basic w ork activities.** When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking:
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions:
- Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations: and

6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

**Non-severe impairment(s)**. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

The medic al reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, treatment and progress reports. They do not provide medical assessments of Claimant's basic work limitations for the required duration. Stated differently, the medical reports do not establish whether the Claimant is impaired minimally, mildly, moderately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely, as defined above for a one year continuous duration.

The claimants disabling symptoms (Findings of Fact #4) are inconsistent with the objective medical evidence of record (Findings of Fact #6).

...Your sy mptoms, i ncluding pain, will be determined t o diminish your capacity for basic work activities...to the extent that your alleged functional limitations and restrictions due to symptoms, such as pain, can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the objective medical evidence and other evidence. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(4).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean t hat we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

The medic al reports (Findings of Fact #6) show that Claimant's physical/mental examinations were normal; that his physical impairments were minimal to moderate (not severe); and that there is no medical evidence of record that Claimant's condition is deteriorating.

#### 201333899/WAS

The Claim ant has not sustained his/her burden of proof to establis h a severe physical/mental impairment in combination, instead of a non-severe impairment, for the required one year continuous duration.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at Step 2.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at Step 2 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, SDA denial is **UPHELD** and so ORDERED.

<u>/s/</u>

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 22, 2013

Date Mailed: July 24, 2013

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

# WAS/hj



