STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No: 2013-28553

Issue No: 2009

Case No:

Hearing Date: May 7, 2013

Kalamazoo County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request fo r a hearing to protest the denial of claimant's application for MA. A fter due notice, an in person hear ing was held on May 7, 2013. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether claimant meets the di sability criteria for Medica I Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On December 29, 2011, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and Retroactive Medical Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On October 19, 2012, the Medical Review T eam denied claimant 's application stating that claimant could perform other work.
- 3. On November 1, 2012, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that her application was denied.
- On January 29, 2013, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- 5. On April 9, 2013, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating in its analys is and recommendation: claimant has a history of COPD and back pain with radic ulopathy. Her physic all exams

are within normal limits. Her lung f unction is adequat e. She retains the capacity to perform light work. The evidence mentions bipolar disorder but does not document s evere symptoms or limitations related to a history of bipolar disorder. Claimant does not have a severe mental impairment. The claimant is not currently engaging in substantial gainful activity based on the information that is available in file. The claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or se verity of a Social Securi ty listing. The medical evidence of record indicates t hat the claimant retains the c apacity to perform a wide range of light work. A finding about the capacity for prior work has not been made. However, this information is not material because all potentially applicable m edical-vocational guidelines would direct a finding of not disabled gi ven the claimant's age, education and residual functional capacity. Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile, MA-P and ret roactive MA-P ar e denied using Vo cational Rule 202.10 as a guide.

- 6. The hearing was held on May 7, 2013. At the hearing, claimant waived the time periods and requested to submit additional medical information.
- 7. Additional medical information was s ubmitted and sent to the State Hearing Review Team on May 8, 2013.
- 8. On July 11 2013, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating in its recommendati on: The claim ant is not currently engaging in substantial gainful activity based on the information that is available in file. The c laimant's impairments do not meet/equal t he intent or severity of a Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of light work. A finding about the capacity for prior work has not been made. However, this information is not material because all potentially applicable medical-vocational guidelines would direct a finding of not disabled given the claimant's age, education and residual functional capacity. Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile, MA-P and retroactive MA-P are denied using Vocational Rule 202.10 as a guide.
- 9. On June 4, 2013, the Social Seculority Administration issued a fully favorable decision on claimant's behalf which indicated that claimant had a disability onset date of Septlemental Security Income (SSI).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XI X of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The

Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Because of the Social Security Administration determination, it is not necess ary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability. PEM, Item 260.

Ongoing MA eligibility begins the first day of the month of SSI entitlement. BEM, Item 150, page 1.

Some clients also qualify for **retroactive** (retro) MA coverage for up to three calendar months prior to SSI entitlement; see BAM 115. Retro MA coverage is available back to the first day of the third calendar month pr ior to: For SSI, entitlement to SSI. BAM, Ite m 115, page 9.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the claimant meets the definition of medically discapility abled under the Medical Assistance Program as of the December 29, 2011 Medical Assistance and Retroactive Medical Assistance application date and as of the September, 2011 Social Security disability onset date.

Accordingly, if it has not already done so, the department is **ORDERED** to open an ongoing Medical Assistance case for the claimant effect ive the month of the Signitilement.

A medical review should be scheduled for August, 2014. The department should check to see if claimant is in current payment status or not. If the claimant is in current payment status at the medical review no further action will be necessary. However, if the claimant is not in current payment status at the medical review, the department is to obtain updated application forms (DHS49) and obtain updated medical records.

It is ORDERED that t he department shall review this case in one year from the date of this Decision and Order.

Landis Y. Lain

Administrative Law Judge

Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 19, 2013

Date Mailed: July 19, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsider ation on the Department's motion where the final decisi on cannot be implement ed within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decis ion and Order or, if a timely request for r ehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/las

