STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES				
IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-53801 1018; 3015 July 10, 2013 Kent		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, July 10, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, and the Claimant's wife with an interpreter, with an interpreter, on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included, Mary Lingeman, FIS and, Irene Middleton FIM.				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to excess income, did the Department properly \square deny the Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	Adult Medical Ass State Disability A Child Developme	sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material face		rial, and substantia		
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ red	ceived benefits for	r:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	•	sistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2. On May 9, 2013, the Department \square denied Claimant's application \square closed Claimant's case \boxtimes reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.

3.	On May 9, 2013, the Department sent \square Claimant \square Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the \square denial \square closure \square reduction.	
4.	On June 11, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \square closure of the case. \boxtimes reduction of benefits.	
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.	
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, <i>et seq</i> . The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> ., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.		
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.	
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.	
an 19 Th an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.	

Additionally, the Claimant had househeld earned income of \$1,177 and countable unearned income of \$1,140. Department Exhibit 4-8.

As a result of excess income, the Claimant had a decrease in FAP benefits. After deductions from her gross income of standard deduction, and an earned income deduction of for an adjusted gross income of total shelter deduction of total s			
The Claimant qualified for a FIP benefit of the claimant had an earned income of the context of the claimant qualified. Her net earned income was the claimant of the claimant and an earned income deduction percentage of the claimant qualified for the claimant in FIP benefits, resulting from the payment standard of the claimant is eligible for a supplement for her FIP grant because one of the children's green card had the wrong birth date, which made them ineligible for FIP until the green card's birth date was corrected. The Department caseworker said that the written vertication of birth date had been received and that the Department would be issuing the Claimant a supplement for the additional person for the contested time period for FIP benefits.			
The Department has met its burden that the Claimant is eligible for FAP in the amount of \$935 for a household group composition of 9. In addition, the Department correctly determined that the Claimant was only eligible for a FIP benefit of \$416 based on the information provided.			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $			
 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☑ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case 			
for: AMP SIP FAP MA SDA CDC.			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN	10 DAYS OF
THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:	

<u>/s/</u>

Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>07/25/2013</u>

Date Mailed: 07/25/2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision;
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant;
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

201353801/CGF

CGF/pw

