STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-53523 Issue No.: 3021; 2021; 5009

Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 18, 2013 County: Calhoun

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge, pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, July 18, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included, Leah Bardo, APS.

ISSUE

Du	Due to excess assets, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny the Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case for:			
	Family Independence Program (FIP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ State Emergency Relief (SER)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:				
1.	 Claimant			
	☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ State Emergency Relief (SER).		

2.	Due to excess assets, on June 12, 2013, the Department \boxtimes denied Claimant's application \square closed Claimant's case.		
3.	On June 12, 2013, the Department sent \boxtimes Claimant \square Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the \boxtimes denial \square closure.		
4.	On June 14, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application \square closure of the case.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
_	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.		
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.		
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.		
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.		
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.		
SE 40	The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The Reprogram is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by, 1999 AC, Report through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State nergency Relief Manual (ERM).		

Additionally, the Claimant had property that previously had been listed for sale, but the For Sale Listing had expired and the Claimant had not had the ad renewed. As a result, he had excess assets, which made him ineligible for MA, SER, and FAP. BEM 400,165, 211. ERM 205

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department: properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case. for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes SER. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly \indid \text{did not act properly.} Accordingly, the Department's \bigcap AMP \bigcap FIP \bigotimes MA \bigcap SDA \bigotimes FAP \bigotimes SER decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: /s/ Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>07/24/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>07/25/2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision;
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pw

