

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████
██████████
██████████████████

Reg. No.: 2013-52868
Issue No.: 3016
Case No.: ██████████
Hearing Date: July 15, 2013
County: Wayne (76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 15, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ██████████ Assistance Payment Worker, and ██████████ ██████████ Assistance Payment Worker.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
2. On April 30, 2013, Claimant submitted to the Department a completed Redetermination. (Exhibit 2)
3. On May 29, 2013 the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action, informing her that her FAP case would be closing effective July 1, 2013 on the basis that she is not an eligible student. (Exhibit 3)

4. On June 10, 2013 Claimant filed a hearing request, disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, Rule 400.3001-3015.


Additionally, Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits. In connection with a redetermination, Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits was reviewed. (Exhibit 2). On May 29, 2013 the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action, informing her that her FAP case would be closing effective July 1, 2013 on the basis that she is not an eligible student. (Exhibit 3). A person who is in student status and does not meet the criteria in BEM 245 is a non-group member and is not eligible to receive FAP benefits. BEM 212 (November 2012), p. 8. A person enrolled in a post-secondary education program may be in student status and eligible for FAP assistance, provided that certain eligibility criteria are met. BEM 245 (January 2013), pp.1.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that she is enrolled half time as a student and that she is not employed. Her testimony was supported by the information she included with her redetermination. (Exhibit 2). Claimant testified that she is not physically or mentally unfit for employment and that she does not participate in on-the-job training or in a work study program. Claimant also is not a single parent nor does she provide more than half of the physical care of a group member under the age of six. BEM 245, pp.2-4. Based on the above information and additional testimony provided at the hearing by both Claimant and the Department, Claimant does not meet any of the criteria found in BEM 245; and is therefore not eligible to receive FAP benefits. BEM 245, pp.2-4. Therefore, the Department properly closed Claimant's FAP case, as she is not an eligible student.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department acted in

accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case due to her not being an eligible student. Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.


Zainab Baydoun
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 22, 2013

Date Mailed: July 22, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/cl

cc: 