STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-52739

Issue No.:

Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 16, 2013

County: DHS-SSPC-WEST

2006

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J uly 16, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant incl uded Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and Participants and Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Participants and Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Participants and Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Participants and Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Participants on Behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Participants on Behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Participants on Behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Participants on Behalf of Department of Behalf of Behalf of Department of Behalf of Department of Behalf of

ISSUE

Due to a failure to c omply with verification requirem ents, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as material fact based upon competen t, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses:

- 1. On April 23, 2013, the Claim ant applied for MA benefits. Specifically Adult Medical Program (AMP) benefits.
- 2. On April 26, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a verification checklist. The verification checklist was due May 6, 2013.
- 3. As of May 6, 2013, the Claimant had not returned the requested verifications.
- 4. On May 31, 2013, the Department denied the Claimant's MA application. .
- 5. On June 7, 2013, the Claim ant requested a hearing to dispute the MA application denial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The MA program is established by the Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of t he Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

Clients have the right to contest a Departm ent decis ion affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to rev iew the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. (BAM 600).

Department policy indicates th at clients must cooperate with the loca I office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility with all programs. (BAM 105). This inc ludes completion of the necessary forms. Clie nts who are able to but refuse to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. (BAM 105).

Testimony and other evidence must be weig hed and considered according to its reasonableness. Moreover, the weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. In evaluating the credibility and weight to be given the testimony of a witness, the fact-finder may consider the demeanor of the witness, the reasonableness of the witness is testimony, and the interest, if any, the witness may have in the outcome of the matter.

I have carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record and find the Department's actions to be appropriate in this case as the Claimant did not provide any proof that the verifications wer e timely sent to the Department by the due date of May 6, 2013. The Claimant didn't send the documents herself (a third party did) and the Claimant did not have the actual fax confirmation page verifying the number the documents were sent to.

Accordingly, I find evidence to **affirm** the Department's actions.

.

¹ *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007).

² Dep't of Community Health, 274 Mich App at 372; People v Terry, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997).

³ People v Wade, 303 Mich 303 (1942), cert den, 318 US 783 (1943).

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 17, 2013

Date Mailed: July 17, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2013-52739/CAA

CAA/las

