STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	2013-52732
Issue No.:	3008
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	July 16, 2013
County:	SSPC WEST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J uly 16, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included (Claimant's Wife). Participants on be half of Department of Hum an Services (Department) included Interpreting the hearing was (Spanish).

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly 🔀 deny Claimant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case 🗌 reduce Claimant's benefits for:

$ \vdash $	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. On April 15, 2013, the Claimant applied for MA benefits.
- 2. On April 16, 2013, the Department sent t he Claimant a verification checklis t. The verification checklist indicated t he Claimant had specific verifica tions due by April 26, 2013.
- 3. On April 22, 2013, the Claimant returned a bank statement from October 5, 2012.

- 4. On April 30, 2013, the Dep artment denied the Claimant's FAP application for failing to turn in the request ed verifications. Spec ifically, the Claimant turned in old ban k statements rather than current bank statements.
- 5. On June 11, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the MA denial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The MA program is established by the Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of t he Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Clients have the right to contest a Departm ent decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to rev iew the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. (BAM 600).

Department policy indicates the at clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility with all programs. (BAM 105). This includes completion of the necessary forms. Clie ints who are able to but refuse to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. (BAM 105).

Testimony and other evidence must be we ighed and considered according to its reasonableness.¹ Moreover, the weight and credibi lity of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine.² In evaluating the credibility and weight to be given the testimony of a witness, the fact-finder may consider the demeanor of the witness, the reasonableness of the witness 's testimony, and the interest, if any, the witness may have in the outcome of the matter.³

I have carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record and find the Claimant never returned all the requested verifications as requested and required by the due date. Therefore, I find the Department's actions were appropriate.

Accordingly, I **AFFIRM** the Department's actions in this matter.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find based upon the above F indings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did act properly.

¹ *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007).

² *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997).

³ *People v Wade*, 303 Mich 303 (1942), *cert den*, 318 US 783 (1943).

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

f act

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 17, 2013

Date Mailed: July 17, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2013-52732/CAA

CAA/las

