

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No.: 2013-51846  
Issue No.: 3002  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: July 10, 2013  
County: Washtenaw County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Corey A. Arendt

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 10, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly process Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) application?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On March 4, 2013, the Claimant applied for FAP benefits and was approved for expedited food assistance but had the application pended for verifications.
2. On March 19, 2013, the Claimant submitted the requested verifications.
3. Between March 19, 2013 and May 29, 2013, the Department failed to finish the processing of the Claimant's March 4, 2013 FAP application.
4. On May 29, 2013, the Claimant's Representative requested a hearing to prompt the Department to process the March 4, 2013 FAP application.
5. On June 7, 2013, the Department processed the Claimant's March 4, 2013 FAP application and issued retroactive benefits back to March 4, 2013.
6. At the time of the hearing, the Claimant disputed the amount of her FAP allotment that was awarded in June of 2013.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F S) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

In this case, the Department did not timely process the application but upon receipt of the hearing request, processed the application and issued retroactive benefits. So at the time of the hearing, the Department had corrected the issue that resulted in the hearing request that was filed on May 29, 2013.

Additionally, the Claimant raised a new issue at the time of hearing. That issue being the FAP allotment that was ultimately awarded. Since the original hearing request did not address a FAP allotment issue, I will not be addressing it in this decision. If the Claimant still has a concern with the amount of the FAP allotment, the Claimant is encouraged to request another hearing regarding the new issue that arose after the filing of the May 29, 2013 request. (BAM 600).

**DECISION AND ORDER**

I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP decision is **AFFIRMED**.



**Corey A. Arendt**  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 10, 2013

Date Mailed: July 10, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

cc:

