#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2013 51120

 Issue No.:
 1000, 3000

 Case No.:
 Image: County in the image is a streng bate in th

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

## SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 1, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

#### <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly:

] denied Claimant's application for benefits

] closed Claimant's case for benefits

 $\boxtimes$  reduced Claimant's benefits

for:

⊠ Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?
State Emergency Services (SER)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On June 10, 2013, the Department:

denied Claimant's application for benefits
closed Claimant's case for benefits

Х	red	uced	Cla	iman	ťs	benefits	
---	-----	------	-----	------	----	----------	--

under the following program(s):

🖂 FIP 🖾 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 AMP 🗌 SI	SDA 📋 CDC 📋 SER
-----------------------------	-----------------

2. On May 20, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:

	denial
	closure
$\boxtimes$	reduction.

- 3. On May 31, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
- 4. The Claimant acknowledged at the hearing that the only issue that remains to be resolved at the time of the hearing on July 1, 2013 was the FIP benefits, and the FAP benefits were no longer an issue.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: The Department agreed to issue FIP supplements due to Department error which were not paid in the amount of \$194.40 (May) and \$389 (June) and to seek a help ticket if the supplements cannot be processed. There remains no issue regarding FAP benefits and the Claimant's hearing request with regard to FAP will be dismissed.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

The Claimant's hearing request regarding Claimant's FAP benefits is DISMISSED as not issue remains as regards FAP benefits.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

1. The Department shall initiate processing of payment of FIP supplements for May \$194.40 and June \$389, 2013 and shall seek a help desk ticket if necessary to expedite payment of the supplement.

-m. Jenis Lynn M. Ferris

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 9, 2013

Date Mailed: July 9, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LMF/cl

