## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF	
------------------	--

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-50439 3000 July 8, 2013 Wayne (55)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levent	er	
SETTLEMENT	Γ ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrand MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request was held on July 8, 2013, at Hamtramck, Michael included the Claimant and her Authorized Foundation on behalf of the Department of the De	for a hearing. After on this and the following a	due notice, a hearing on behalf of Claimant ,
Whether the Department properly:		
<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>		
for:		
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li> </ul>	Child Developme	Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? y Services (SER)?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On May 1, 2013, the Department:

	<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>
	under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☑ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.
2.	The Department did not send notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	☐ denial ☐ closure ☐ reduction.
3.	On June 3, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
progra impler Regul Agend	ne Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 2001 through Rule 400.3015.
The le	by provides that disposition may be made of a contested ages by stipulation or

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's FAP benefits and provide retroactive and ongoing benefits to her.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP benefits.
- 2. Provide retroactive and ongoing FAP benefits to Claimant beginning May 1, 2013 at the benefit level to which she is entitled.
- 3. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 11, 2013

Date Mailed: July 12, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/tm

