STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-48284

Issue No.: 2001

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

July 23, 2013 Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J uly 23, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) i included Interpreting the hearing was s

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny the Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as material fact, based on the compet ent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record:

- 1. On April 1 6, 2013, t he Claima nt's dau ghter filled o ut an ap plication for MA and indicated the Claimant's wife was not blind or disabled.
- 2. On May 2, 2013, the Department denied the Claimant's application for MA finding the claimant was not blind, aged (65 or older), or disabled.
- 3. On May 2, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indicated the Claimant's MA application was being denied.
- 4. On May 13, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the MA denial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The MA program is established by the Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The AMP is establis hed by 42 USC 1315 , and is administer ed by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*

The goal of the Medicaid program is to ensure that essentia I health care s ervices are made available to those who otherwise could not afford them. Medicaid is also known as Medical Assistance (MA). BEM 105 (2012), p. 1.

The Medic aid program is comprised of se veral sub-programs or categories. One category is FIP recipients. A nother category is SSI recipien ts. There are several other categories for persons not receiving FIP or SSI. However, the eligibility factors for these categories are based on (relat ed to) the eligibility factors in either the FIP or SSI program. Therefore, these categories are referred to as either FIP-related or SSI related. BEM 105 (2012), p. 1.

To receive MA under an SSI-related category, the person must be aged (65 or older), blind, disabled, entitled to Medicare or formerly blind or disabled. BEM 105 (2012), p. 1.

To receive FIP related MA, the Claimant must either have dep endent children; be the caretaker relative of dependent children; be under the age of 21 or be pregnant. BEM 105 (2012), p. 1.

In this case, the Department denied MA for the Claimant's wife as the Claimant's wife was not blind, aged or dissabled according to the Aproxil 16, 2013 MA application. Additionally, the Claimant did not have dependent children or was the caretaker relative of dependent children, under the age of 21 or pregnant. Ther efore, the Claimant's wife did not qualify for MA benefits under any of the MA or FIP related MA criteria.

Based on the presented facts, I find the Department's actions were in conformity with the applicable laws and policies and therefore, I **AFFIRM** the Department's actions in this matter.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find the Department did act properly, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

Accordingly, the Department's MA decision is **AFFIRMED.**

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 24, 2013

Date Mailed: July 24, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

CC:

