## STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



| Reg. No.: | $2013-48217$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Issue No.: | 2000 |
| Case No.: |  |
| Hearing Date: | July 18,2013 |
| DHS-HEALTHY |  |
| KIDS/PLAN 1ST |  |

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400. 9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J uly 18, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included Participants on behalf of Department of

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly process the application?

Claimant's April 30, 2013 Plan First

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on $t$ he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On April 30, 2013, the Claim ant applied online for medical assistance benefit s. The Claimant selected the Plan First application; completed it; and submitted it.
2. On May 10, 2013, the Department denied the Claimant's application as the Claimant did not meet the requirements for the Plan First program.
3. At the time of the application, the Claimant was a 51 year old male.
4. On May 17, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing to protest the application denial.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The MA program is established by the Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

The AMP is establis hed by 42 USC 1315 , and is administer ed by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.

Any person may file an application for assistance. T he date of application is the date the Department receives the app lication. The request may be made electronically or in any loc al office. All received applicatio ns with the minimum information must be registered by the Department within one workday for all REQUESTED PROGRAMS.

On April 30, 2013, the Claimant completed and submitted an application for Plan First. There is no record of a general medical assistance application.

Plan First is for non-pregnant women who are 19-44 years of age. The Claimant in this case is neither a woman or between the ages of 19 and 44 and ther efore, the Department properly denied the Claimant's Plan First application.

## DECISION AND ORDER

I find, bas ed upon the above Findings of Fa ct and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, find the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.


Corey A. Arendt
Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: July 22, 2013
Date Mailed: July 22, 2013
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. ( 60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Dec ision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehea ring was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
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