### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-48210 Issue No.: 2000;2001 Case No.: Hearing Date:

DHS-HEALTHY KIDS/PLAN 1ST July 18, 2013

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

#### HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J uly 18, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and (Case Manager). Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

### ISSUE

Did the Department properly process the application?

Claimant's April 29, 2013 Plan First

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On April 29, 2013, the Claim ant applied online for medical assistance benefit s. The Claimant selected the Plan First application; completed it; and submitted it.
- 2. As of April 29, 2013, the Claimant thought she was pregnant.
- 3. The Department approved the Claimant's April 29, 2013 application with a start date of April 1, 2013.
- 4. On May 16, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing in an attempt to convert the April 29, 2013 application to an Adult Medical Program (AMP) application.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The MA program is established by the Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The AMP is establis hed by 42 USC 1315 , and is administer ed by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

Any person may file an application for assistance. The date of application is the date the Department receives the application. The request may be made electronically or in any loc al office. All received application is with the minimum information must be registered by the Department within one workday for all **REQUESTED PROGRAMS**.

On April 29, 2013, the Claimant thought she was pregnant and submitted an application for Plan F irst. The application was approv ed. Shortly thereafter, the Claimant requested a hearing to have the application c onverted to an ap plication for the Adult Medical Pr ogram (AMP). At no point in time did the Claimant apply for the AMP program.

At the hearing, the Claimant's representative indicated the Claimant was confused due to a disability and ther efore submitted the wrong application. I do not find this to be a valid or legitimate argument. The same representative indicated the Claimant applied for benefits because she thought she was pregnant. If the Claimant thought she was pregnant, Plan First would have been the appropriate program to apply for as the program is a family planning program (health coverage program) operated by the Department of Community Health (DCH). Additionally, there is no evidence the Claimant contacted the Department and requested assist ance during the application phase.

I am not aware of any such policy that woul dallow a Claimant to retroactively chang e an application to that of an application for a different benefit type (akin to changing a FIP application to a FAP application).

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I find t he Department properly process ed the Claimant's April 29, 2013 application.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

I find, bas ed upon the above Findings of Fa ct and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, find the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 22, 2013

Date Mailed: July 22, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# 2013-48210/CAA

# CAA/las



