STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

 					_	_
		B / /	\ T -	ΓFR	\sim	
	-	11/1 /		ı – ĸ		

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-47989 3002 June 17, 2013 Wayne (57)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer						
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 17, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan, before Administrative Law Judge Michael Bennane. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included. Family Independence Manager.						
On July 2, 2013, the case was reassigned to Administrative Law Judge Jan Leventer for preparation of a decision and order.						
<u>ISSUE</u>						
Did the Department properly \boxtimes allocate Claimant's benefits for the \square close Claimant's case for:						
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	•	sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:						
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:						

	☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).State Disability Assistance (SDA).Child Development and Care (CDC).			
 On May 15, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the					

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this case. On May 9, 2013, the Claimant submitted a rent receipt for the month of May, 2013. Effective June 1, 2013, the Department increased Claimant's FAP benefits based on the added shelter deduction. Dept. Exh. 15.

The Department policy that is applicable in this case is Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 220, "Case Actions." This policy explains how changes in income and expenses are to be administered. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 220 (2013).

Regarding changes which result in an increase of FAP benefits, the Department must make the change "no later than the first allotment issued 10 days after the date the change was reported..." *Id.*, p. 5. Applying this procedure in this case, as the change was reported May 9, 2013, change must be processed for the first month after ten days have passed. The ten days passed on May 19, 2013, and the next benefit period would be June 1, 2013.

Having considered all of the evidence in this case, it is found and determined that the Department followed its policy and procedure, BAM 220, when it increased Claimant's FAP benefits based on an increase of rent reported on May 9, 2013. The Department acted correctly and is affirmed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

 ☑ properly increased Claimant's benefits for ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case 					
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.					
DECISION AND ORDER	<u>R</u>				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did not act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
	Jan boento				
	Jan Leventer				
	Administrative Law Judge				
	for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services				
	Department of Human Oct vices				

Date Signed: July 11, 2013

Date Mailed: July 12, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

201347989/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/tm

