STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-43955

Issue No.: 1005

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 27, 2013
County: Wayne (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 27, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included _______, JET Case Worker.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to complete a redetermination, did the Department properly close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits.
- 2. On March 12, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a redetermination that was to be completed and returned on or before April 1, 2013. (Exhibit 1)
- 3. On April 19, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that effective May 1, 2013, the Department intended to terminate her FIP benefits due to a failure to return the redetermination. (Exhibit 2)
- 4. On April 26, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

Additionally, the Department must periodically redetermine an individual's eligibility for active programs. The redetermination process includes a thorough review of all eligibility factors. BAM 210 (November 2012), p 1. A client must complete a redetermination at least every 12 months in order for the Department to determine the client's continued eligibility for benefits. BAM 210, p. 1. For FIP cases, the Department must also conduct a telephone interview with the head of household at redetermination before certifying continued eligibility. BAM 210, p. 4. If the redetermination packet is not logged in by the negative action cut-off date of the redetermination month, the Department sends the client a Notice of Case Action and automatically closes the FIP case. BAM 210, p. 9.

In this case, on March 12, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a redetermination that was to be completed and returned on or before April 1, 2013. A FIP interview was also scheduled for April 1, 2013 at 11:30 A.M. (Exhibit 1). The Department testified that because it did not receive a completed redetermination, on April 19, 2013 the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that effective May 1, 2013, the Department intended to terminate her FIP benefits due to a failure to return the redetermination. BAM 210, p. 9; (Exhibit 2).

At the hearing, Claimant confirmed that she received the redetermination and that she did not complete and return it to the Department. Claimant stated that she thought that she only had to participate in the telephone interview and was not aware that she had to complete the forms and return them by the interview date. Therefore, because the Department did not receive the completed redetermination by the due date, the Department could not review her eligibility for FIP benefits. As such, the Department did act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP case for failure to return the redetermination.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP case for failure to

return a completed redetermination. Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Zainab Baydoun

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 17, 2013

Date Mailed: July 17, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/cl

CC: