#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2013-43950

 Issue No.:
 6031

 Case No.:
 June 26, 2013

 Hearing Date:
 June 26, 2013

 County:
 Oakland (02)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 26, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. Participating on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) was Eligibility Specialist.

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly process Claimant's request for Direct Support Services (DSS) assistance with vehicle purchase?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. In March 2013, Claimant requested DSS assistance with the purchase of a vehicle.
- 2. On April 15, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that her application had been denied. (Exhibit 1).
- 3. On April 22, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Direct Support Services (DSS) is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.57a, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.

DSS are goods and services provided to help families achieve self-sufficiency and include Employment Support Service (ESS) which allows for vehicle purchase. BEM 232 (January 2013), p. 1. There is no entitlement for DSS. BEM 232, p. 1. The decision to authorize DSS is within the discretion of the Department or the work participation program. BEM 232, p. 1. The Department may authorize up to \$2,000 to purchase, not lease, a vehicle to be used as a participant's primary means of transportation for work or employment-related activities. Vehicle purchase is limited to once in a client's lifetime. BEM 232, p.13. The Department is to send a DHS-1605, Client Notice, informing the client of the outcome of the DSS request. BEM 232, p.6.

In this case, Claimant testified that in March 2013, she submitted a request for DSS assistance with vehicle purchase which was denied. Claimant was notified of the denial through a Notice of Case Action sent to her by the Department on April 15, 2013. BEM 232, p.6;(Exhibit 1). Although the Notice of Case Action states that Claimant's application was denied based on a failure to verify, the Department testified that verifications were requested and received and that the reason for the denial was because the Department had already approved the purchase of a car for Claimant through DSS in 2007. In support of its testimony, the Department presented a DSS Payment summary and a DSS Payments Detail both, of which confirm that on October 18, 2007, Claimant was paid \$1,200.00 to be used towards the purchase of a vehicle. (Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 3). Claimant disputed this and stated that in 2007, her request was for a vehicle repair but that the Department changed it to a vehicle purchase when it should not have.

Because vehicle purchase through DSS is limited to once in a client's lifetime and the Department has presented documentation establishing that in 2007, Claimant's DSS request for assistance with vehicle purchase was approved, the Department did not abuse its discretion when it denied Claimant's March 2013 DSS application for assistance with vehicle purchase. BEM 232, p.13.

### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department acted in

accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's DSS application for vehicle purchase assistance. Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Laurab Kaydown

Zainab Baydoun Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>July 19, 2013</u> Date Mailed: <u>July 19, 2013</u>

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/cl		
CC:		