## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.: 2013-43299

IN	J TH		BA A	\ <b>T</b>	CCI	0	^		
III		16	IVI	۱ı		К (	u	-	Ī

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	June 26, 2013 Wayne (82-35)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J.	Bennane	
<u>SETTLEMEN</u>	ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Adminis and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on June 26, 2013 behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Parti Human Services (Department) included	est for a hearing. B, from Detroit, Michi cipants on behalf of	After due notice, a gan. Participants on
Whether the Department properly:		
<ul> <li>☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☑ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☑ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>		
for:		
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li> </ul>	Child Developme	assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? / Services (SER)?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On April 16, 2013, the Department:
	<ul> <li>☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>
	under the following program(s):
2.	On April 11, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	<ul><li>☑ denial</li><li>☐ closure</li><li>☐ reduction.</li></ul>
3.	On April 15, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
Respo 42 US Agend throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal possibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ph Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program we October 1, 1996.
<del>-</del>	and the state of the Proceedings of the state of the stat

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: accept documentation of Claimant's income, recalculate Claimant's FIP allocation and supplement for any missed benefits if appropriate.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

1. Accept documentation of Claimant's income, recalculate Claimant's FIP allocation and supplement for any missed benefits if appropriate.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 24, 2013

Date Mailed: July 24, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## MJB/pf

