# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	THE	MΔ7	TFR	OF:
114			1 - 1	<b>O</b> I .

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013 43288 6000, 6015 June 26, 2013 Wayne (18)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Feri	ris	
SETTLEMENT	ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrand MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on June 26, 2013, behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and behalf of the Department of Human Services (Case Manager.	st for a hearing. from Detroit, Michig , a with	After due notice, a gan. Participants on ess. Participants on
ISSUE		
Whether the Department properly:		
<ul><li>☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li><li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li></ul>		
for:		
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li></ul>	Child Developme	essistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? or Services (SER)?

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On February 6, 2013, the Department:				
<ul><li>☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li><li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li></ul>				
under the following program(s):				
☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☒ CDC ☐ SER.				
The Department conceded at the hearing that it denied the application for failure to provide verification in error.				
The Department further acknowledged that all the requested information had been provided and was received in a timely manner.				
2. On February 6, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:				
<ul><li>☑ denial based on lack of need.</li><li>☐ closure</li><li>☐ reduction.</li></ul>				
3. On April 22, 2013 Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.				
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).				
∑ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.				
The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).				
In the present case. Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action.				

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: The Department agreed to seek a help desk ticket so that the Claimant's

CDC application dated December 28, 2012 could be re-registered and processed to determine eligibility for CDC. The Department also further agreed to process reimbursement for CDC benefits provided the Claimant is eligible and further provided that the CDC provider provides billings for the CDC services provided.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall re-register and process the Claimant's December 28, 2012 CDC application and shall determine Claimant's eligibility for CDC. The Department shall seek a help desk ticket to assist with any processing problems.
- 2. The Department shall issue reimbursement for CDC services provided it is determined that the Claimant is eligible and that Claimant's CDC provider submits billings for the services provided for the period.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 17, 2013

Date Mailed: July 17, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## 2013-43288/LMF

## LMF/cl

