#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



2013-41634
2001, 3002
July 3, 2013
Oakland (03)

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on July 3, 2013, at Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and the claimant a

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Due to excess income, did the Department properly  $\boxtimes$  deny the Claimant's application  $\square$  close Claimant's case  $\boxtimes$  reduce Claimant's benefits for:

☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☑ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
  - State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
- Child Development and Care (CDC)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant  $\square$  applied for benefits for:  $\square$  received benefits for:
  - Family Independence Program (FIP).
  - Food Assistance Program (FAP).
- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
- State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

2013-41634/JL

- On or about May 2, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application
  closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits
  due to excess income (FAP) and the fact that the AMP was closed to new enrollment.
- 4. On April 9, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. □ closure of the case. ⊠ reduction of benefits.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, the first issue in this case is the reduction of Claimant's FAP benefits from \$200 to \$16 as of May 1, 2013. The reduction occurred because of an increase in Claimant's income. Dept. Exh. 1, pp. 3-8. The Department policy applicable to this case is Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500, "Income Overview." BEM 500 requires the Department to set the customer's benefit level according to the customer's gross income. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500 (2013).

Having reviewed all of the evidence in this case, it is found and determined that the Department acted correctly in this case, in that BEM 500 requires the Department to calculate FAP benefit levels using the customer's gross income. The Department has done that in this case, and the Department shall be affirmed with regard to the reduction of Claimant's FAP benefits.

Second, with regard to AMP, the Claimant applied for this program on March 18, 2013. AMP is a program that is not continuously open to new enrollment. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 640 (2012).

BEM 640 requires the Department to deny applicants when the program is closed, and to inform them that enrollment is frozen. Having considered all of the evidence in this

case as a whole, it is found and determined that the Department acted in accordance with policy and procedure when it denied AMP benefits because of closed enrollment and informed Claimant of the decision in a proper manner. The Department's action with regard to AMP is affirmed. *Id.* 

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department

 $\boxtimes$  denied Claimant's application

 $\boxtimes$  reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\square$  did act properly  $\square$  did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\boxtimes$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

300

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 10, 2013

Date Mailed: July 11, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

### 2013-41634/JL

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### JL/tm

CC: