ASTATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	THE	MAT	TER	OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date:	2013 39166 2014 July 11, 2013		
	County:	Wayne (15)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris				
HEARING DECIS	<u>SION</u>			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 11, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to excess income, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny the Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1. Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits for: ☐ red	ceived benefits for	r:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	_	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2.	On April 4, 2013, the Department
gro	At the time of the application the Claimant received RSDI in the amount of \$993.00 pss per month and a pension in the amount of \$293.
3.	On March 25, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On March 29, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the
	denial of the application.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
when the received was exceived Classing	ditionally, the Department presented SSI-related Medicaid Income Budget Results ich demonstrated that based upon the unearned income received by the Claimant, a Claimant's income exceeds the Ad Care income limit. The Claimant's gross earned income, calculated as \$1286, was correct, as the amount of RSDI seived of \$993 and the pension amount of \$293 were confirmed by the Claimant at a hearing as correct and total \$1286. The income limit for AD Care as of April 2013 as \$931 as established by RFT 242 (4/1/13) and thus the Claimant's gross income deeded the \$931 income limit. Exhibit 1. It is noted that the Department used an orrect income limit of \$958 apparently found in RFT 246 which does not apply to aimant as this limit applies to the Other Healthy Kids program income limit, not adults, en though the income limit was incorrect this error is harmless as the Claimant's some also exceeded the \$931 income limit found in RFT 242 applicable to the Ad re Program income limits.
sta	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ted on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess ome, the Department $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
	 ☑ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 22, 2013

Date Mailed: July 22, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant.
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

2013-39166/LMF

