STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	u II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-38389 3019 June 19, 2013 SSPC-East (97-98)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J.	Bennane					
HEARING DI	ECISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrance and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on June 19, 2013 behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Partituman Services (Department) included	est for a hearing. B, from Detroit, Michi	After due notice, a gan. Participants on				
ISSU	E					
Did the Department properly $igtimes$ deny Claimant's application $igsqcup$ close Claimant's case for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS O	F FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial				
1. Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ receive	d benefits for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).				

2.	On February 13, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to a crimminal justice disqualification.
3.	On February 20, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On April 1, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Do	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

In the instant case, the Department denied Claimant's FAP application on March 20, 2013, due to a criminal justice disqualification. The Department relies on information obtained from a Departmental interface with the Michigan State Police (MSP).

Claimant admitted to a felony conviction ten (10) years ago. Clamant further testified that she contacted the MSP and that they told her that they could not find anything in their records that would cause her to be disqualified.

The Department based their denial on an interface with the MSP. The interface was incomplete and did not even provide a date that the "individual committed the offense."

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch* 274 Mich App365, 372NW2d 403 (2007). Moreover, the weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact finder to determine. *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, Mlch App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997). In evaluating the credibility and weight to be given the testimony of a witness, the fact-finder may consider the demeanor of the witness, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony, and the interest, if any, the witness may have in the outcome of the matter. *People v Wade*, 303 Mich 303 (1942) *cert den*, 318 US 783(1943).

This Administrative Law Judge has carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record, including the "Conviction/Rehabilitation" document

provided by the Department and finds the documentation that the Department provided to be inadequate in showing that Claimant is a fugitive felon. BAM 811 (February 2013).

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department						
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case 						
for:						
DECISION AND ORDER						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.						
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.						
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:						

1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FAP back to the denial date of February 13, 2013, and supplement for any missed benefits.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 3, 2013

Date Mailed: July 3, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

