STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-36912 2000 June 24, 2013 Wayne (82-35)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J.	Bennane	
SETTLEMENT	Γ ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Adminis and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requetelephone hearing was held on June 24, 2013 behalf of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Department)	est for a hearing. B, from Detroit, Michi . Par	After due notice, a
ISSUI	E	
Whether the Department properly:		
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits☐ calculated Claimant's benefits		
for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?	☐ State Disability A	Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On February 1, 2013, the Department:
	 ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits
	under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.
2.	On February 28, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	☑ denial☐ closure☐ reduction.
3.	On June 11, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
Secur The D	e Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.
The la	aw provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: re-evaluate Claimant's eligibility for MA.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

1. Re-evaluate Claimant's eligibility for MA.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 23, 2013

Date Mailed: July 23, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MJB/pf

