## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

 					_	_
		B / /	\ <del>T</del> -	$\GammaFR$	$\sim$	
	-	11/1 /		ı – ĸ		

SER.

1010).

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-29283 2006 July 18, 2013 St. Clair				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie						
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, July 18, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included, Gary Lathorn, APSup and, Susan Woonton, ES.						
<u>ISSUE</u>						
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	State Disability As Child Development State Emergency	nt and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:						

3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by December 3, 2012.

1. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FIP ☐FAP ☒MA ☐SDA ☐CDC ☐

2. Claimant was was not provided with a Re-determination Application (DHS-

$\succeq$	On December 14, 2012, the Department  denied Claimant's application  closed Claimant's case  reduced Claimant's benefits or failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
	on December 14, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6. O	on February 4, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	artment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges bility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Resp 42 US Agen throu	tive October 1, 1996.
progr imple Regu Agen	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is emented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence acy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 agh Rule 400.3015.
Secu The I	he Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for di as th	he State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance isabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known he Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
	he Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.					
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and by, 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).					
Additionally, the Claimant failed to turn in her/his required verification of a Redetermination Application, DHS 1010, by the due date, to determine continued eligibility, which resulted in her/his case being denied/closed. The Claimant is entitled to re-apply for benefits. BAM 105, 130, and 210.					
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department $\boxtimes$ properly $\square$ improperly					
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\boxtimes$ did act properly $\square$ did not act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ <b>AFFIRMED</b> $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:					
/ <u>s/</u> Carmen G. Fahie  Administrative Law Judge  For Maura Corrigan, Director  Department of Human Services					
Date Signed: <u>07/24/2013</u>					
Date Mailed: <u>07/25/2013</u>					

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision;
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant;
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CGF/pw

