#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:

Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2013-14276 2013-28736 RECON 1038

January 28, 2013 Oakland (04)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

# DECISION AND ORDER OF RECONSIDERATION

This matter is before the undersigned Ad ministrative Law J udge pursuant t o Department's timely Request for Rehearing/Reconsideration of the Hearing Decision generated by the assigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) at the conclus ion of the hearing conducted on January 28, 2013, in the above-captioned matter.

The Rehearing and Recons ideration process is gov erned by the Michig an Administrative Code, Rule 400.919, *et seq.*, and applicable policy provisions articulated in the Bridges Ad ministrative Manual (BAM), specifically BAM 600, which provide that a rehearing or reconsideration must be filed in a timely manner consistent with the statutory requirements of the particular program that is the basis for the claimant's ben efits application, and **may** be granted so long as the reasons for which the request is made comply with the policy and statutory requirements.

This matter having been reviewed, an Order Granting Recons ideration was issued on July 15, 2013.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") erred in reversing the Department's determination which terminated the Claiman t's cash assistance ("FIP") based on non-compliance with the Work First/Jobs , Education, and Training ("WF/JET") program?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was a FIP re cipient and a mandatory WF/JET participant.
- 2. The Claim ant, as part of her required pa rticipation in the WF/JET program, agreed to attend all required assignments and appointment.
- 3. The Claimant did not have any WF/JET approved reduced participation requirements.
- 4. On August 11, 2012, the Michi gan Works! scheduled the Claimant to attend a WF/JET appointment for August 27, 2012 at 9:00a.m.
- 5. On September 4, 2012, Mich igan Works! mailed Claimant a Noncompliance Warning Letter scheduli ng her Triage appointment for September 11, 2012 at 1:00p.m.
- 6. On this same date, the Departm ent mailed a Notic e of Case Ac tion to the Claimant informing her that her FIP benefits would close effective October 1, 2012 based on the failure "to participate in employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities or you quit a job, were fired, or reduced your hours of employment **without good cause**." (emphasis added).
- 7. On November 27, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing protesting the closure of FIP benefits.
- 8. On January 28, 2013, a hearing was conducted resulting in a He aring Decision that reversed the Depar tment's actions ordering the Department to remove the sanction from Claimant's case, initiat e reinstatement of Claimant's FIP ca se effective October 1, 2012 and issue FIP supplements in accordance with department policy.
- 9. The Hearing Decision was mailed on January 30, 2013.
- 10. On January 31, 2013, a timel y Request for Reconsideration was received from the Department.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

In the instant case, the Department's Request for Rehearing/Recons ideration alleges that the ALJ misappl ied Department of Human Serv ices Policy as it pertains to the adherence to BEM 233A. Specifica IIy, the Department contends the ALJ failed to adhere to BEM 233A as it relate s to triage and the processing of the FIP closure.

2013-14276/VLA 2013-28736 RECON

BEM 233A provides that pr ogram participants will not be terminated from the work participation program without first scheduli ng a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss non-compliance and good cause. BEM 233A (May 2012), p. 7. Good cause is based on the best information ava ilable during the triage and prior to the negative action date. BEM 233A, p. 8. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend the triage. BEM 233A, p. 8. Good cause must be verified and provided prior to the end of the negative action period. BEM 233A, p. 9.

As noted, policy provides that a triage must be held within the negative action p eriod (thus a Notice of Case Action issued) and a good cause determination must be made prior to the negative action date (i.e . closure of benefits). Good cause is determined **during** triage. BEM 233A, p. 7. Pursuant to BAM 220, A Notice of Case Action must provide the reason(s) for the action. BAM 220 (July 2012), p. 9.

In the record presented, on September 4, 2012, the Department sent a Notice of Non-compliance and a Notice of Case Ac tion to the Cla imant. The Department scheduled a triage within the negative action period; however, the Notice of Case Action provided, in r elevant part, that the FIP "...ben efit has been cancelled..." for the reason that "[y]ou or a group member failed to par ticipate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities or you qui t a job, were fired, or reduced you hour s of employment without good cause." (emphasis added). Ba sed on the Notice of Case Action, the Department made a finding that good cause did not exist **prior** to the triage date. T his contradicts BEM 233A which allows for a good cause determination during triage, not before, and prior to the negative action date. In light of the foregoing, it is found that the Department fai led to est ablish it acted in accordance with Departm ent policy when it issued a Notic e of Case Action specifically providing the reason for FIP closure was non-compliance without good cause **prior** to holding a triage. Accordingly, the ALJ's reversal is AFFIRMED.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Based on the above findings of fact and conclu sions of law, the Administrative Law Judge did not err in reversing the Departm ent's actions finding no good cause prior to the triage.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Hearing Dec ision of the ALJ mailed on January 30, 2013 is **AFFIRMED** relating to the finding of no good cause on the Notice of Case Action issued prior to the scheduled triage.
- 2. The Department shall initiate re-ins tatement of FIP benefits from the date of closure (if not previously done) in accordance with Department policy.

2013-14276/VLA 2013-28736 RECON

3. The Depar tment shall supplement t he Claimant for I ost FIP benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive, if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Dichi Z. Qui

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 18, 2013

Date Mailed: July 19, 2013

VLA/las

CC: E.