STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	u II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-28339 2017 April 22, 2013 Wayne (82-17)					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer							
HEARING DECISION							
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 22, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan, before Administrative Law Judge Michael Bennane. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included							
On June 7, 2013, the case was reassigned to Administrative Law Judge Jan Leventer for preparation of a decision and order.							
ISSUE							
Due to excess income, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny the Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:							
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:							
1. Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits for: ☐ red	eived benefits for	r:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐	•	esistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). Ent and Care (CDC).					

2.	On August 1, 2012, the Department 🖂 denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to a determination that she was eligible for ongoing, but not retroactive, benefits.						
3.	On August 1, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.						
4.	On October 26, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting						
	the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case. \square reduction of benefits.						
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW							
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).						
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.						
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.						
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.						
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.						
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.						
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98						

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, BEM 165, "Medicare Savings Program," sets forth the Department's policy regarding retroactive Medicare insurance premium coverage for all customers. BEM 165 states that the Department may not pay retroactive benefits beyond the calendar year in which the customer's application was filed. BEM 165 (2013), p. 3.

June 11, 2012, is the date of Claimant's application. In her Hearing Request, Claimant requests Medicare insurance premium coverage back to 2010. Having considered all of the evidence in this case in its entirety, it is found that Claimant is eligible for retroactive Medicare insurance premiums back to January 1, 2012, only, pursuant to BEM 165.

The effect of BEM 165 is that the Department has no authority to provide retroactive Medicare insurance premium coverage beyond the calendar year of the customer's application. However, in this case, the Department failed to provide Medicare insurance premium coverage for January-July 2012, a period of seven months. *Id.*

BAM 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," requires the Department to perform three functions: determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect client rights. In this case, the Department failed to protect Claimant's right to retroactive coverage of Medicare premiums for the first seven months of 2012. BAM 105 (2013). The Department shall be reversed, and 2012 retroactive coverage shall be provided as a remedy.

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department \square properly \boxtimes improperly
☑ denied Claimant's application☐ reduced Claimant's benefits☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ightharpoonup indicated in indicated in indicated in its conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department indicated in its conclusions in its conclusions.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Provide retroactive ALMB Medicare insurance premium coverage to Claimant for the months of January-July, 2012.

2. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 24, 2013

Date Mailed: June 24, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

