STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	TH	IE I	MA'	ΓΤΕ	R C)F:
----	----	------	-----	-----	-----	-----

		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-28163 5034 May 23, 2013 Oakland (63-02)			
ΑD	MINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Benr	nane				
	HEARING DECIS	ION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a relephone hearing was held on May 23, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included						
	<u>ISSUE</u>					
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case for Direct Support Services (DSS)?						
	FINDINGS OF FA	ACT				
	e Administrative Law Judge, based on the c dence on the whole record, finds as material fac	•	rial, and substantial			
1.	Claimant \boxtimes applied for DSS benefits \square recepair.	ceived DSS ben	efits for automobile			
2.	On November 19, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed due to Department's decision that the requeste sufficiency.	Claimant's case ed services are r	not required for self-			
3.	On January 24, 2013, the Department sent	resentative (AR)				

Date Mailed: July 8, 2013

 On January 29, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the							
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW							
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).							
The Department assists families to achieve self-sufficiency. The primary avenue to self-sufficiency is employment. The Department and Partnership.Accountability.Training. Hope. (PATH) provid DSS to help families become self-sufficient.							
There is no entitlement for DSS. The decision to authorize DSS is within the discretion of the Department or PATH program. BEM 232 (November 2012).							
In this instance, the Department found that the car repair was not needed to achieve the goal of self-sufficiency.							
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application for DSS.							
DECISION AND ORDER							
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \int \text{did act properly.} \int \text{did not act properly.}							
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC \boxtimes DSS decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.							
Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: July 8, 2013							

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

