## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

2=:::::::=:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::				
IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-26552 2015 June 26, 2013 Wayne (49)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 26, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Manager.				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
<ol> <li>Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:</li> </ol>				

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

2.	On February 1, 2013, the Department  denied Claimant's application  closed Claimant's case due to a determination that she was no longer pregnant.			
3.	On January 8, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.			
4.	On January 14, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.			
Additionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this case. Claimant received Medicaid benefits in a program called "Healthy Kids for Pregnant Women," referred to as "HKP." This program provides health care to women while they are pregnant and for two more months after the pregnancy ends. Whether the pregnancy ends in a live birth, miscarriage, stillborn birth, or other reason, the two-month post-partum period is the limit that a customer can receive benefits in the HKP program. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 125 (2010).				
On November 29, 2012, Claimant suffered an ectopic pregnancy and lost the baby. Having considered all of the evidence in this case as a whole, it is found and determined that Claimant was eligible for HKP benefits for the two months after the November cessation of her pregnancy. These two months are December, 2012 and January, 2013.				
Cla	is therefore found and determined that the Department was required to terminate aimant's HKP benefits effective January 31, 2013. BEM 125. The Department acted rectly in this case and shall be affirmed.			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
	properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case			

Date Mailed: July 8, 2013

for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.			
DECISION AND ORDE	<u>R</u>		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above F of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds t   ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
Date Signed: July 8 , 2013	Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services		

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 2013-26552/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JL/tm

