STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-4130

2013-19060/RECON

Issue No.: 1038

Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 5, 2012

County: Wayne (43)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

DECISION AND ORDER OF RECONSIDERATION

This matter is before the under signed Ad ministrative Law J udge pursuant to Department's timely Request f or Rehear ing/Reconsideration of the Hearing Decision generated by the assigned Admini strative Law Judge (ALJ) at the conclusion of the hearing conducted on December 5, 2012, in the above-captioned matter.

The Rehearing and Recons ideration process is governed by the Michigan Administrative C ode, Rule 400.919, et seq., and app licable policy provisions articulated in the Bridges Administrati ve Manual (BAM), specifically BAM 600, which provide that a rehearing or recons ideration must be filed in a timely manner consistent with the statutory requirements of the particular program that is the basis for the claim ant's benefits application, and **may** be granted so long as the reasons for which the request is made comply with the policy and statutory requirements.

This matter having been reviewed, an Order Granting Rec onsideration was issued on July 15, 2013.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Administrative Law J udge ("ALJ") erred in reversing the Department's determination which terminated the Claimant's cash assist ance ("FIP") based on non-compliance with the Work First/Jobs, Education, and Training ("WF/JET") program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, bas ed upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was a FIP re cipient and a mandatory WF/JE T participant.
- 2. The Claim ant, as part of her required participation in the WF/JET program, agreed to attend a ll requir ed assignments and appointment.
- 3. The Claim ant did not have any WF/JET approved reduce ed participation requirements.
- 4. On October 3, 2012, the Depar tment mailed the Claimant a Notic e of Noncompliance ins tructing her to appear for triage on October 11, 2012 at 9:00a.m.
- 5. On this same date, the Depart ment mailed a Notice of Case Action to the Claimant informing her t hat her FIP benefits would close effective November 1, 2012 bas ed on the failure "to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-re lated activities or you quit a job, were fired, or reduc ed your hours of employment without good cause." (emphasis added).
- 6. On October 9, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing protesting the closure of FIP benefits.
- 7. On December 5, 2012, a hear ing was conducted resulting in a Hearing Decision that reversed the Department's actions ordering the Department to remove the sanction from Claimant's FIP and FAP c ases, initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FI P case and restoration of Claimant's FAP bene fits effective November 1, 2012, issue FIP and F AP supplements in accordance with policy and initiate reinstatement of Claimant's MA case effective November 1, 2012.
- 8. The Hearing Decision was mailed on December 13, 2012.
- 9. On December 26, 2012, a timely Request for Reconsideration was received from the Department.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

In the inst ant case, the Department's Request for Rehearing/Recons ideration alleges that the ALJ misapplied Departm ent of Human Services Policy as it pertains to the adherence to BEM 233A. Specifically, the Department contends the ALJ failed to adhere to BEM 233A as it relates to triage and the processing of the FIP closure.

BEM 233A provides that program participants will not be terminated from the work participation program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss non-compliance and good cause. BEM 233A (May 2012), p. 7. Good cause is based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. B EM 233A, p. 8. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend the triage. BEM 233A, p. 8. Good cause must be verified and provided prior to the end of the negative action period. BEM 233A, p. 9.

As noted, policy provides that a triage must be held within the negative a ction period (thus a Notice of Case Action issued) and a good cause e determination must be made prior to the negative action date (i.e. closure of benefits). Good cause is determined **during** triage. BEM 233A, p. 7. Pursuant to BAM 220, A Notice of Case Action must provide the reason(s) for the action. BAM 220 (July 2012), p. 9.

In the record presented, on October 3, 2012, the Department sent a Notic e of Non-compliance and a Notice of Case Action to the Claimant. The Department scheduled a triage within the negative action period; however, the Notice of Case Action provided, in r elevant part, that the FIP "...ben efit has been canc elled..." for the reason that "[v]ou or a group mem ber failed to participate in employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities or you guit a job, were fir ed, or reduced you hours of employment without good cause." (emphasis added) Bas ed on the Notice of Case Action, the Department made a finding that good cause did not exist prior to the triage date. This c ontradicts BEM 233A which allows for a good caus e determination during triage, no t before, and prior to the negative action date. In light of the foregoing, it is found t hat the Depar tment failed to establish it acted in acco rdance with Department poli cy when it issued a Notice of Case Action specifically prov iding the reason for FIP clos ure was noncompliance without good cause **prior** to holding a triage. Accordingly, the ALJ's reversal is AFFIRMED.

DECISION AND ORDER

Based on the abov e findings of fact and conclusions of law, the Administrative Law Judge did not err in reversing the Department's actions finding no good cause prior to the triage.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

 The Hearing Decis ion of the ALJ mailed on Decem ber 13, 2012 is **AFFIRMED** relating to the finding of no good caus e on the Notice of Case Action issued prior to the scheduled triage.

2013-4960/VLA 2013-19066 RECON

- The Depar tment shall initiate r e-instatement of FIP , FAP and MA benefits from the date of closure (if not previously done) in accordance with Department policy.
- The Department shall supplement t he Claimant for lost FIP, FAP and MA benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive, if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Vicki L. Armstrong
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 18, 2013

Date Mailed: July 19, 2013

VLA/las

cc: