#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2013-940 2014

February 6, 2013 Mason County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on W ednesday, February 6, 2013 fr om Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Cla imant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included FIS.

### ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's applic ation Close Claimant's case k reduce Claimant's benefits for:

	Family Independence Prog
	Food Assistance Program (
$\times$	Medical Assistance (MA)?

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant  $\square$  applied for benefits for:  $\square$  received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).
- 2. On September 6, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case 🛛 reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.

3. On September 17, 2012, Claimant or Cla imant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the

 $\Box$  denial of the application.  $\Box$  closure of the case.  $\boxtimes$  reduction of benefits.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is establis hed by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the claim ant was a recipient of MA through the Transitional M A program from November 1, 2011 through October 31, 2012 with a redetermination due in November 2012 for G roup 2 Car etaker Relative. As a result of her excess income for MA, the claimant was determined eligible for a MA Spenddown/Deductible case. After

deductions, the claimant had net income of **Sec.** The income limit was **Sec.** which resulted in the claimant having a deductible of **Sec.** that she must meet before being eligible for MA.

The department has met its burden that the clai mant is eligible for MA with a deductible of \$ that she must meet before being eligible for MA. After the hearing, the department caseworker will expl ain the MA deductible program again to the claimant. The department has met its burden that the claimant had excess income for MA.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess income, the Department income properly improperly



for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\boxtimes$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\square$  did act properly  $\square$  did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

mon

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 11, 2013

Date Mailed: February 13, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

