#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:
2013-9275

Issue No.:
4031

Case No.:
Hearing Date:

County:
Image: County image:

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. Claimant's request for a hearing was received on After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on During the hearing, Claimant waived the time period for the issuance of this decision in order to allo w for the submission of additional medic al evidence. The Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony along wit h The Department was represented by

#### ISSUE

Did the Department of Hum an Services (Department) properly determine that the Claimant did not meet the di sability standard for the Stat e Disability Assistance (SDA) program?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On **Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits alleging disability.**
- 2. On Claimant did not meet the di sability standard for r State Disability Assistance (SDA) because it determined that the Claimant's impairments do not prevent employment for 90 days or more.
- 3. On denied the application for assistance.

- 4. On request, protesting the denial of disability benefits.
- 5. On Medical Review Team's (MRT) denial of State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits.
- 6. On **State Hearing Rev iew Team (SHRT )** again upheld the determination of the Medical Rev iew Team (MRT) that the Claimant does not meet the disability standard.
- 7. The Claim ant applied for federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits at the Social Security Administration (SSA).
- 8. The Social Security Administrati on (SSA) denie d the Claimant's federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) application and the Claimant reported that a SSI appeal is pending.
- 9. The Claimant is a sequence of woman whose birth date is sequence of the claimant is a fight claimant is a light school graduate. The Claimant is able to read and write in English.
- 10. The Claimant was not engaged in substantial gainful activity at any time relevant to this matter.
- 11. The Claimant has past relevant wo rk experience providing home health care where she was required to prepare meals, clean, assist with bathing, and administer medications.
- 12. The Claimant alleges disability due to lower back pain, glaucoma, atrial fibrillation, and schizophrenia.
- 13. The Claimant smokes 7 to 8 cigarettes on a daily basis.
- 14. The objec tive medic allev idence indicates that the Claiman t was hospitalized in April of 2012 due to hallucinations and delusions.
- 15. The objec tive medic allever idence indicates that the Claiman trian trian
- 16. The objective medical ev idence in dicates that the Claimant has been diagnosed with schiz oaffective disor der and suffers from auditory and visual hallucinations as well as delusional thought process es and paranoia.
- 17. The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant suffers from depression.

- 18. It is the medical opini on of the Claimant's medical service provider that sees the Claimant for out patient therapy on a weekly bas is that the Claimant is severely and persistently mentally ill.
- 19. The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant suffers form visual and auditory hallucinations.
- 20. The objective medical evidence indi cates that the Claimant has a history of automobile accidents in
- 21. The objective medical evidence indi cates that the Claimant is alert and oriented with respect to person, place, and time.
- 22. The objective medical evidence i ndicates that the Claimant's mood is dysphoric, anxious, and suspicious.
- 23. The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant's gait is a low limp on the right side.
- 24. The objective medical ev idence in dicates that the Claimant has been diagnosed with sc hizoaffective disorder, alcohol dependence in remission, and cocaine dependence in remission.
- 25. The objective medical evidence indicates that t he Claimant experienced sinus rhythm with premature ventri cular contraction (PVC) of a benign nature, but no atrial fibrillatio ns, no sign ificant bradycardia, and no significant tachycardia.
- 26. The objective medical evidence indi cates that the Claimant has a history of alcohol and cocaine use in a relapse in

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901 - 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903. Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an adminis trative hearing to review the decis ion and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (Department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative

Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435. 540, the Department uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining el igibility for disability under the Medical Assistanc e and State Disability Assistance (SDA) programs. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

... inability to do any s ubstantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable phys ical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expect ed to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that s everal considerations be analyzed in sequential order.

#### <u>STEP 1</u>

Does the client perform Substant ial Gainf ul Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is not disabled.

At step 1, a determination is made on whet her the Claimant is engaging in s ubstantial gainful activity (20 CF R 404.1520(b) and 416.920(b)). Substantial gainful activity (SGA) is defined as work activity that is both substantial and gainful. "Substantial work activity" is work activity that i nvolves doing signif icant physic al or mental activities (20 CFR 404.1572(a) and 416.972(a)). "Gai nful work activity" is work that is usually done for pa y or profit, whether or not a profit is realized (20 CF R 404.1572(b) and 416.972(b)). Generally, if an individual has earnings from employ ment or self-employment above a specific level set out in t he regulations, it is presumed that he h as demonstrated the ability to engage in SGA (20 CF R 404.1574, 404.1575, 416.974, and 416. 975). If an individual engages in SG A, he is not disabled regardless of how severe his physical or mental impairments are and regar dless of his age, education, and work experience. If the individual is not engaging in SGA, the analysis proceeds to the second step.

The Claimant is not engage d in substantial gainful ac tivity and is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

# STEP 2

Does the client have a severe impairment? If no, the client is not disabled.

At step two, a determination is made whether the Claimant has a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a comb ination of impairments that is "severe" (20 CF R 404. I520(c) and 4I6.920(c)). An impair rment or combination of impairments is "severe" within the meaning of the regulations if it signific antly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work acti vities. An impairm ent or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence establish only a sligh t abnormality or a combination of slight abno rmalities that would have no m ore than a

minimal effect on an individual 's ability to work (20 CF R 404.1521 and 416. 921. If the Claimant does not have a sev ere medically determinable impairment or combination of impairments, he is not disabled. If the Claimant has a severe impairment or combination of impairments, the analysis proceeds to the third step.

The Claimant has the burden of pr oof of establishing that she has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment.

The Claimant is a 46-year-old woman that is 4' 11' tall and weighs 280 pounds. The Claimant alleges disability due to lower back pain, glaucoma, atrial fibrillation, and schizophrenia.

The objective medical evidence indicates the following:

The Claimant was hospitaliz ed in April of 2012, due to halluc inations and delusions. The Claimant was hospitaliz ed in June of 2012, for depression and risk of suicide. The Claimant has been diagnosed with schizoaffective disorder and suffers from auditory and visual halluc inations as well as delusional thought processe s and paranoia. The Claimant suffers from depression. The Claimant suffers from visual and auditory hallucinations. The Claimant is alert and oriented with respect to person, place, and time. The Claimant's mood is dysphoric, anxious, and suspicious. The Claimant has been diagnos ed with schizoaffective disorder, alcohol dependence in remission, and cocaine dependence in remission. The Claimant has a history of alcohol and cocaine abuse in 2007 and 2008, and a re lapse in June of 2012.

The Claimant has a hist ory of automobile acci dents in 1985 and 2000 . The Claimant's gait is a low limp on the right side.

The Claimant experienced s inus rh ythm with premat ure ventricular contraction (PVC) of a benign nature, but no atrial fibrillations, no significant bradycardia, and no significant tachycardia.

The Claimant smokes 7 to 8 cigarettes on a daily basis.

It is the medical opinion of t he Claimant's medic al servic e provider that sees the Claimant for outpatient therapy on a week ly basis that the Claimant is severely and persistently mentally ill.

A physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidenc e consisting of signs, symptoms, and laboratory findings, not only by your statement of symptoms. 20 CFR 416.908.

In determining whether you are disabled, we consider all your symptoms, including pain, and the extent to which your symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the objective medical evidence, and other evidence. By objective medical evidence, we mean medical signs and laboratory findings. These include statements or reports from

you, your treating or nont reating source, and others about your medical histo ry, diagnosis, prescribed treatment, daily activities, efforts to work, and any other evidence showing how your im pairment(s) and any related symptoms affect your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.929(a).

However, statements about your pain or ot her symptoms will not alone e stablish that you are disabled; there must be medical sign s and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment(s) which c ould reasonably be expected to produce the pain or ot her symptoms alleged and which, when considered with all of the other evidence (including statements about the intensity and persistence of your pain or other symptoms which may reasonably be accepted as consistent with the medical signs and laboratory findings), would lead to a conc lusion that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.929(a).

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant has es tablished a sever e physical impairment that has more than a de mi nimus effect on the Claimant's ability to perform work activities.

## <u>STEP 3</u>

Does the impairment appear on a special listi ng of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4.

At step three, a determination is made whether the Claimant 's impairment or combination of impairments is of a severity to meet or medically equal the criteria of an impairment listed in 20 CFR Part 404, S ubpart P, Appendix 1 (20 CFR 404.1520(d), 404.1525, 404.1526, 416.920(d), 416.925, and 416.926). If the Claimant's impairment or combination of impairments is of a severity to meet or medically equal the criteria of a listing and meets the duration requirem ent (20 CFR 404.1509 and 416.909), the Claimant is disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

The Claimant's impairment failed to meet the listing for a back injury under section 1.04 Disorders of the spine, because the objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from nerve root compression resulting in loss of motor strength or reflexes, or resulting in a pos itive straight leg test. The objective medical evidence e does not demonstrate that the Claimant has been diagnosed with spinal arachnoiditis. The objective medic al evidence does not t support a finding that the Claimant's impairment has result ed in an inability to am bulate effectively. The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant ambulates with a low limp on the right side.

The object ive medic al evidence does not s upport a finding of di sability based on glaucoma.

The objective medical evidenc e does not s upport a finding of disability bas ed on atrial fibrillation. The objective medical evide nce indicates that the Cla imant experienced

sinus rhythm with premature ventricular c ontraction (PVC) of a benign nat ure and no atrial fibrillation was found.

The Claimant's impairm ent failed to meet the listing for schizophrenia under section 12.03 schizophrenic, paranoid and other psychotic disorder s because t he objective medical evidence does not support a finding that the Claimant suffers from marked restrictions of activities of daily living or social func tioning. T he objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended duration, or that she is unable to function outside a highly supportive living arrangement. The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant is alert and oriented with respect to person, place, and time.

The term repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended duration in thes e listings means three episodes within 1 year , or an average of once every 4 months, each lasting for at least 2 weeks. In this case, the Claimant was hos pitalized in April of 2012, and June of 2012, and her psychological symptoms were treated on an inpatient basis. The objective medical evidence does not support a finding that the Claimant suffers from repeated episodes of decompensation.

The medical evidence of the Claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that she would meet a statutory listing in federal code of regula tions 20 CFR Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1.

### <u>STEP 4</u>

Can the client do the former work that she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is not disabled.

Before considering step four of the sequent ial evaluation process, a deter mination is made of the Claim ant's residual functional capacity (20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 416.920(c)). An individual's residual functional capacity is his ability to do physical and mental work activities on a su stained basis despite limitations from his impairments. In making this finding, the undersigned must consider all of the Claimant's impairments, including impairments that are not severe (20 CFR 404. 1520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8p).

Next, the a determination is ma de on whether the Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform the requirements of his past relevant work (20 CFR 404.I520(f) and 416.920(f)). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the Claimant actually performed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability must be established. In addition, the work must have lasted long enough for the Claimant to Learn to do the job and have been SGA (20 CFR 404.1560( b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965). If the Claimant has the residual funct tional capacity to do his past re-levant work, the Claimant is not disabled. If the Claim ant is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

After careful consideration of the entire record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform sedentary or light work as defined in 20 CFR 404.1567 and 416.967.

The Claimant has past relevant work experience providing home health care where she was required to prepare meals, clean, assist with bathing, and administer medications.

The Claimant's prior work fits the description of light work.

There is no evidenc e upon which this Administrative Law Judge could bas e a finding that the Claimant is unable to perform work in which she has engaged in, in the past.

#### STEP 5

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the Department to establish that the Claimant has the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) for Substantial Gainful Activity.

Does the client have the Res idual F unctional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Append ix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, client is not disabled.

At the las t step of the sequential ev aluation proc ess (20 CFR 404.15 20(g) and 416.920(g)), a determination is made whether the Claimant is able to do any other work considering his residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. If the Claimant is able to do other work, he is not disabled. If the Claimant is not able to do other work and meets the duration requirement, he is disabled.

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class if jobs as sedentary, light, medium, and heav y. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dict ionary of Occupational Titles, publis hed by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like dock et files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is define d as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walk ing and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walk ing and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it

requires a good deal of wa lking or standing, or w hen it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg c ontrols.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involv es lifting n o more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we dete rmine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior employment and that she is physically able to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of her. The Claimant's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited and s he should be able to perform light or sedentary work even with her impairment s. The Claimant's testimony as to her I imitations indicates that she should be able to perform light or sedentary work.

The Claimant was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. The Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing.

The Claimant's complaints of pain, while pr ofound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to the Claimant's ability to perform work.

Claimant is -years-old, a younger person, under age 50, with a high school education, and a hist ory of unskilled work. Based on t he objective medical evidence of record Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform sedent ary work or light work, and State Disability Assistance (SDA) is denied us ing Vocational Rule 20 CFR 202.20 as a guide.

It should be noted that the Claimant continues to smoke despite the fact that her doctor has told her to quit. Claimant is not in compliance with her treatment program. If an individual fails to follow prescribed treatment which would be expected to restore their ability to engage in substantial activity without good cause there will not be a finding of disability... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(iv).

The Department's Program Elig ibility Manual contains t he following policy statements and instructions for casework ers regarding t he State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be dis abled, caring for a disable d person or age 65 or older. BEM 261. Because the Claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish t hat the Claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the Claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits. The Department has established by the nec essary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with Department policy when it determined that the Claimant was not eligible to receive State Disability Assistance.

### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the Department has appropriately established on the rec ord that it was acting in compliance with Department policy when it denied the Claimant's application for State Disabil ity Assistance benefits. The Claimant should be able t o perform a wide range of light or sedentar y work even with her impairments. The Department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

\_/S/

Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 05/03/2013

Date Mailed: 05/03/2013

NOTICE: A dministrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely request for re hearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322





