STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:201370Issue No:2009Case No:Image: Case No:Hearing Date:January 9, 2013Eaton County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, January 9, 2013. Claimant appeared an d provided testimony on his behalf. Particip ants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included The record was extended 90 days at the claimant's request for a 2nd SHRT review of additional medical reports submitted at the hearing. (Claimant Exhibit A).

ISSUE

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant's MA-P/SDA applicat ion on May 3, 2012, was de nied on August 2, 2012 per BEM 260/261, with a hearing request on August 17, 2012.
- 2. Vocational factors: Age 38, with a GED, and past 15 year work experience as an semi-skilled fork-lift vehicle operator and construction of buildings.
- 3. Claimant's last employment ended in December, 2004 due to a lay-off; he became an UCB recipient with exhaustion in the first part of 2006.
- 4. Claimants diagnosed medical impairments are seizures, abdominal pain, and a displaced shoulder. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 673).

- 5. Claimants disabling s ymptoms are chronic abdominal pain; left shoulder pain; and joint "pop-out" after rai sing left arm halfway; memory loss due t o medication; and he has limited lifting/carrying of 10 pounds with right hand.
- 6. Medical reports of exams state the Claimant on:
 - a. December 23, 2011: Is awake, in *moderate* distress secondary to *abdominal pain*; and that he has no tr emor, coordination and bedside manner examination appear *intact*. (DHS Exhib it A, Pg. 65).
 - b. May 22, 2012: His humeral maintains *normal* rel ations with the glenoid regarding the left shoulder pain. (Claimant Exhibit 1, Pg. 5).
 - c. November 26, 2012: Has *mild* impairments with decreased strength of his rotator cuff; that he is not going to be able to work for two reasons
 1. Due to multiple seizure disorder

2. Due to his shoulder dislocation. (Claimant Exhibit 1, Pg. 6).

- d. December 5, 2012: Has a *stable* condition. (Claimant Exhibit 1, Pg. 4).
- e. December 5, 2012: Shoulder pain is controlled with medication; that range of motion has *improved* but still limited; that he has decreased range of motion and shoulder instability; that symptoms are relieved by anti-convulsions (EEG November 13, 2012 *normal*); and that he has now no seizur es for five days. That seizure went from every four days to every eight days (much better); and that *overall* seizures much better but not optimal. (Claimant Exhibit 1, Pg. 8 & 9).
- 7. State Hearing Review Team decis ion dated October 26, 2012 states the Claimant's impairments do not m eet/equal a Soc ial Sec urity listing (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 672).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridg es Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Se curity Act, and is administe red by the Department of Human Services (DHS or departm ent) pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*. Department policies are containe d in the Bridges Administrati ve Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The burden of proof is on the claimant to establish disability in accordance with the 5 step process below. ...20 CFR 416.912(a).

The burden of proof shifts to the DHS at step 520 CFR 416.912 (b).

Acceptable medical sources about your impai rments are an M.D. or D.O. or fully licensed psychologist. Medical reports would include:

Your ability to do work-re lated activities such as sitting, standing, moving ab out, lifting, carrying, handling objects, hearing, speaking, and traveling.
 In cases of mental impairment s, your ability to reason or make occupational, personal, or social adjustments. ...20 CFR 416.913(a)(c)(1) and (2).

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the client's s ymptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200. 00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

Step 1, dis ability is not denied. The ev idence of rec ord established the Claimant has not engaged in substantial gainful activities since December, 2004.

Step 2, disability is denied. The objective medical evid ence of record, based on the de minimus standard, on date of app lication, does not est ablish the Claimant's signific ant functional physical incapacity to perform basic work activiti es, but not for the required one year continuous duration, as defined below.

Severe/Non-Severe Impairment

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do bas ic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic w ork activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The medic al reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, treatment and progress reports. They do not provide medi cal assessments of Cla imant's basic work limitations. Stated differently, how do the claimant's medically diagnosed dis orders significantly incapacit ate his functional abilit y to perform basic work activities for the required duration? Do the dis orders impair the Claimant's ability s lightly, mild ly, moderately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely, as defined above?

The claimant's disabling symptoms (Findings of #5) are not supported by the objectiv e medical evidence of record (Findings of Fact #6). The medical evidence states the claimant's *moderate* (not severe) distress from stoma ch pain; that his shoulder pain and seizur es are controlled with medication; that seizures have improved from every four to eight days and the claimant 's getting much better; that he has *mild* decreas e shoulder strength; and his condition is stable.

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which s how that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a). ...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

Administrative law judges ha decisions on constitutional growerrule promulgated regulatio exceptions to the department manuals. Delegation of Hearin g Authority, July 13, 2011, per PA 1939, Section 9, Act 280.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at Step 2.

The department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability As sistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be dis abled, caring for a disable d person or age 65 or older. BEM , Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and becaus e the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable t o work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability cr iteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either.

Therefore, claimant has not sustained his burden of proof to establish disability, as defined above, by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P denial is **UPHELD**.

William & Sundquest

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 9, 2013

Date Mailed: April 9, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/hj