STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	20136798
Issue No.:	2021
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	
County DHS:	

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim telephone hearing was held on on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of H uman Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) properly det ermined the Claimant's countable assets?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant applied for Medical Assistance (MA) and Medic are Cost Share benefits on the second second
- 2. On the Department notified the Cla imant that her application for Medical Assistance (MA) and Medicare Cost Share benefits had been denied because her countable assets exceed the allo wable limits for each of these programs.
- 3. The Department received the Claim ant's request for a hearing on protesting t he denial of her Medica I Assistance (MA) and Medicare Cost Share benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Servic es (DHS or Department) adm inisters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et s eq., and MCL 400.105. Departm ent policies are found in the Bridges Administ rative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligib ility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Department must consider assets when determining eligibility f or Medical Assistance (MA) and Medicare Cost Share personal property and real property. Count able ass ets cannot exceed the applica ble asset limit. An asset must be available to be countable. Available means that someone in the asset group has the legal right to us e or dispose of the asset. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 400 (January 1, 2013), pp 1-7.

The value of the ty pes of as sets described above is the amount of the:

- Money/currency.
- Uncashed check, draft or warrant.
- Money in the account or on deposit.
- Money held by others.
- Money held by nursing facilities for residents.
- Money in the Direct Express account. BEM 400.

Do not count funds treated as income by a program as an asset for the same month for the same program. BEM 400.

The Claimant applied for Medical Assistance (MA) and Medicare Cost Share benefits on On Medical Assistance (MA) and Medicare Cost Share benefits had her application for Medical Assistance (MA) and Medicare Cost Share benefits had been denied because her countable assets exceed the allowable limits for each of these programs.

The Department determined the Claimant's c ountable assets based on verification documents submitted by the Cl aimant along with her applicat ion for assistance. The Department determined that the Claimant had a checking acc ount with a countable value of \$ and a nulty with a countable value of \$ and a life insurance policy with a countable value of \$ and a life insurance. The Department det ermined that the Claimant has total countable assets of \$

The asset limit to receive Medical Assistance (MA) benefits if \$2,000. BEM 400.

The asset limit to receive Medicare Cost Share benefits if \$6,940. BEM 400.

The Claimant's representative argued that the Department improperly determined the countable value of the Claimant 's checking account. The Claim ant's representative argued that the Claim ant's checking account statement indicates that one deposit for \$ was made into the account in social security benefit payment.

The Department considers all available a ssets to be countable when d etermining eligibility to receive benefits unless the policy includes an exclusion for that asset. In this case, the Depart ment's policy includes an exclusion for available assets that are considered countable income in the same month. If the Claimant's soc ial s ecurity payment is excluded from countable ass ets, her other assets are high enough that she remains in eligible for Medical As sistance (MA), but may be eligible for Medicare Cost Share benefits if she meets all other criteria for the program.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department has failed to establish that the Claimant's social security benefits for countable income that should be excluded as a countable asset.

The Department established the at it properly denied the Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) benefit s, but failed to establis h that it properly denie d Medicare Cost Share benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the Department properly denied t he Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits based on excess assets.

The Department's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility determination is **AFFIRMED**. It is **SO ORDERED**.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the Department failed to establish that it properly denied the Claimant's application for Medicare Cost Share benefits based on excess assets.

The Department's Medicare Cost Share eligibility determination is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Allow the Cla imant a t en day period to clarify whether the deposit to her checking account on should be excluded from countable assets as current income.
- 2. Initiate a determination of the Claim ant's eligibility for Medicare Cost Share benefits as of .

20136798/KS

- 3. Provide the Claimant with a Notice of Case Ac tion (DHS-1605) describing the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 4. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.

_/s/

Kevin

Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 03/22/2013

Date Mailed: 03/22/2013

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly disc overed evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

20136798/KS

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

