STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

I	N	Т	ΗE	М	A٦	ГТЕ	R	0	F:
					_			•	

1. Cla imant

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-5631 2026;2014 February 14, 2013 Macomb County DHS #20					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie							
HEARING DECISION							
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, February 14, 2013 fr om Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Cla imant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.							
<u>ISSUE</u>							
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's applic ation ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:							
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	_	sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:							

applied for benefits for: \boxtimes received benefits for:

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

20135631/CGF

2.	On September 28, 2012, the Department					
3.	On September 28, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.					
4.	On October 9, 2012, Claim ant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the					
	denial of the application. \boxtimes closure of the case. \boxtimes reduction of benefits.					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
	partment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .					
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective stober 1, 1996.					
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.					
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.					
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the F amily Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.					
and 199	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations Parts 98					

and 99. The Department provides servicies to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.
Additionally, the claimant got married, which required the department to count his wife's income when determining eligibility. The claimant and his wife are recepients of Social Security RSDI benefit s in the amount of per month in unearned income. The department casework er determined eligibility for MA where the claimant had excess income for MA AD-Care and MA-MSP, where the income limit for a couple was but the claimant had a net in come of which resulted in him being denied for MA AD-Care and MA-MSP.
As a result of his exc ess income for MA AD -Care, the claimant was determined eligible for a MA Spenddown/ Deductible case. The claimant has household income from RSDI income from Social Security of \$ After deductions of a \$ unearned income general exclusion, insurance premium of \$ and a protected income of \$ the claimant had a deductible of \$ that he must meet before being eligible for MA.
The department has met its burden that the clai mant is eligible for MA with a deductible of \$696 that he must meet bef ore being eligible for MA. The department has met its burden that the claimant had excess income for MA AD-Care and MA-MSP.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess income, the Department properly improperly
☐ denied Claimant's application☒ reduced Claimant's benefits☒ closed Claimant's case
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/s/ Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services Date Signed: February 25, 2013
Date Mailed: February 26, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

