STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



2024

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400. 9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and Participants on behalf of Department of European and European an

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) properly det ermined the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On the Claimant applied for Medical Assistance (MA) on behalf of his son
- The Claimant's son is a U.S. citizen.
- 3. The Claim ant and his son's mother are not U.S. citizens, nor are they permanent resident aliens.
- 4. On the Department notified the Claimant that it had denied his application for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits for his son.



5. The Department received the Cla imant's request for a hearing on protesting the denial of his Medical Assistanc e (MA) application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Servic es (DHS or Department) adm inisters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et s eq., and MCL 400.105. Departm ent policies are found in the Bridges Administ rative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligib ility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

On the Claimant applied for M edical Assistance (MA) on behalf of his son. On the Claimant applied for M edical Assistance (MA) on behalf of his the Department notified the Claimant that it had denied his application for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits.

The Claimant's son is a U.S. citizen. The Claimant and his son's mother are not U.S. citizens, nor are they permanent resident a liens. The Claimant does not dispute that Medical Assistance (MA) benefits are not available for himself and his son's mother due to their citizenship status.

However, the citizenship status of the Claimant, his son, and the child's mother are not relevant to the issue of whether the chil dis eligible for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits.

A requirement of the Medical Assistance (MA) program is being a resident of Michigan. A person is a Michigan resident if that pers on lives in Michigan, ex cept for a temporary absence, and intends to remain in Michigan permanently or indefinitely, or that person has entered the state of Michigan for employment purposes, and has a job commitment, or is seeking employ ment. When an adult in the MA fiscal group does not meet the residency requirement for eligibility, their children cannot meet the requirement either. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 220 (March 1, 2013), pp 1-2.

The Claimant currently resides in Michigan for the pur poses of furthering his education. His status in this country as a non-citizen is as a student. As a non-citizen student living in Michigan, the Claimant has failed to es tablish that he has an intent to remain in Michigan permanently or indefinitely. The Claimant has failed to establish that he entered Michigan for the purposes of obtaining employment in Michigan. Therefore, as a non-resident of Michigan, the Claimant's s on is also considered to be a non-resident of Michigan, and is not eligible to receive Medical Assistance (MA) benefits.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department has established that it properly denied the CI aimant's application fo r Medical Assistance (MA) based on residency.



DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Department properly denied the Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) based on residency.

The Department's M.A. eligibility determination is **AFFIRMED**. It is SO ORDERED.

_/s/		
	Kevin	Scully
		Administrative Law Judge
		for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
		Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>03/13/2013</u>

Date Mailed: 03/13/2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.



Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kl

