STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-5457 3015; 2014 January 9, 2013 Kent County DHS		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. F	ahie			
REHEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request to be some person hearing was held on Wednesday, January Strain Grand Rapid, Michigan. Participant claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of	for a hearing. Afte ary 9, 2013 from th s on behalf of Cl	er due notice, an in- le Kent County DHS aimant included the		
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to excess income, did the Department properly \square deny the Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
I. Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits for: ⊠ ı	received benefits fo	r:		
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		
 On June 8, 2012, the Department ☐ denied closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant	ed Claimant's appli mant's benefits	cation		

3.	On June 8, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On June 20, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the
	denial of the application.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective ctober 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence pency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the Aprogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
an 19 Th an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the claimant applied for the Medical Cost Savings Program (QMB) and MA on June 4, 2012. She was a previous recipient of FAP benefits. On June 8, 2012, the

claimant was denied for MA-ALMB because of excess income. The claimant understands she has excess income for MA-ALMB. The claimant was subsequently approved for MA-QMB on July 11, 2012 effective July 1, 2012. In this case, the State of Michigan pays the claimant's premium for Medicaid Part B, which is \$ starting July 1, 2012. As a result, the claimant would have an increase in income of \$ because she was no longer paying her MA-Part B.			
The claimant previously qualified for \$\ \text{in FAP benefits based on her income.} \\ However, effective July 1, 2012, the claimant's income increase \$\ \text{because the State} \\ of Michigan was paying her MA Part B premium. As a result, the claimant had a decrease in FAP benefits of \$7 for the months of August 2012 and September 2012 \\ where she received \$\ \text{are in FAP benefits.} \\ In October 2012, the claimant once again received \$\ \text{are in FAP benefits because the FAP utility was increase, which lowered the claimant's net income.} \end{area}			
The department has met their burden and has correctly determined the claimant's eligibility for FAP, MA-ALMB and MA QMB.			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department \square properly \square improperly			
☐ denied Claimant's application☐ reduced Claimant's benefits☐ closed Claimant's case			
for:			
<u>DECISION AND ORDER</u>			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly ☐ did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: January 22, 2013			
Date Mailed: January 23, 2013			

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- · the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CFG/hj

