STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	2013
Issue No.:	2000
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	June
County:	Tusc

3-49439 . 3008

26.2013 ola

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J une 26, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded . Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly \prod deny Claimant's application \prod close Claimant's case \bigotimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?	State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	Child Development and Care (CDC)?
Medical Assistance (MA)?	

Did the Departm ent properly deny Claiman t's application k close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?	State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	Child Development and Care (CDC)?

Adult Medical Program (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Cla imant applied for was receiving: FIP KAP KAMP SDA CDC.
- 2. Cla imant \boxtimes was \square was not provided with a Verifi cation Checklist on March 20, 2013, and was required to submit requested verification by April 1, 2013.

- 3. On May 21, 2013, the Department sent notice of the
 denied Claimant's application
 closed Claimant's case
 reduced Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
- 4. On May 21, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
 - denial. Closure. reduction of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RF T), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) purs uant to MCL 400.10, et seq. Department policies are containe d in the Bridges Administrati ve Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

The Department closed the Cla imant's Adult Medical Progr am (AMP) benefits as of February 1, 2013. The Claimant requested a hearing on January 23, 2013, protesting the closure of Adult Medical Program (AMP) benefits. An Administrative Hearing was held on February 28, 2013, wher e it was decided that the Claim ant was not eligible for Adult Medical Program (AMP) benefits bec ause her income exc eeds the limit established in policy. Since this issue has already been decided, it is outside of the authority of this Administrative Law Judg e to issue a decision on the Ad ult Medical Program (AMP) clos ure. The Claimant's hearing request is dismissed with respect to the Adult Medical Program (AMP) only.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determin ing initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes the completion of necessary forms. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 105 (March 1, 2013). Verification means documentation or other evidenc e to establis h the ac curacy of the client's verbal or written statements. Verifica tion is usually r equired at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting e ligibility or benefit lev el when it is required by policy, required as a local office option, or informa tion regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete, or contradictory. The Department uses documents, collatera I contacts, or home calls to verify informati on. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (May 1, 2012).

The proper mailing an d addressing of a letter cr eates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. Stacey v Sankovich, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Ins urance Exchange, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In this case, the Claimant failed to rebut the presumption of receipt and she is presumed to have received the March 20, 2013, Verification Checklist.

When a Food Assist ance Program (FAP) client fails to veri fy shelter expenses, the Department is required to remo ve the old expense until the new expense is verified. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 554 (October 1, 2012), p 11.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly

closed Claimant's case.

denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility decision is AFFIRMED for the reasons stated on the record.

The Claimant's request for a hearing conc erning the closure of A dult Medical Program (AMP) benefits is DISMISSED for the reasons stated on the record.

/s/

Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 27, 2013

Date Mailed: June 27, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly disc overed evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2013-49439/KS

KS/las

CC: