# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201349240 Issue No.: 2001, 3021 Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 27, 2013 County: SSPC-WEST

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

Medical Assistance (MA)?

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admir and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's rectelephone hearing was held on June 27, 20° behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included Jeni	quest for a hearing. After due notice, a 13, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on Participants on behalf of Department of
<u>ISS</u>	<u>sue</u>
Due to excess assets, did the Department ∣ ☐ close Claimant's case for;	properly 🔀 deny the Claimant's application
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Did the Department properly 🗌 deny Clain for:	nant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	<ul><li>✓ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>✓ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li></ul>

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:

# 201349240/KS

1.	Claimant $\boxtimes$ applied for benefits $\square$ received benefits for:
	<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> <li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)</li> </ul>
2.	On May 14, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant notice that it had $\boxtimes$ denied Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) application Claimant's case due to excess assets.
3.	On May 14, 2013, the Department notified the Claimant that it had denied her application for Medical Assistance (MA) because she does meet the requirements of any category, and denied her application for Adult Medical Program (AMP) because the program was closed to new applicants.
4.	On May 17, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the implication implication implication implication implication.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	
$\boxtimes$ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.	
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the Aprogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal

#### 201349240/KS

Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Department established that it properly denied the Claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits based on the Claimant's statements during the application process.

The Department considered the Claimant's eligibility for the Adult Medical Program (AMP). Enrollment in AMP was currently frozen to new enrollments when the Claimant applied for benefits. Applications received during the freeze on AMP enrollments must be registered and denied using "applicant did not meet other eligibility requirements" as the denial reason. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 640 (October 1, 2012), p 1.

Cotober 1, 2012), p 1.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department

properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case

for: AMP FIP MA SDA FAP.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP MA SDA FAP decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

/s/ Kevin Scully

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>07/01/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>07/01/2013</u>

### 201349240/KS

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/pw

cc:

