STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg No. 2013-4741 Issue No. 2009

Case No.

Hearing Date: January 31, 2013

Saginaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: CARMEN G. FAHIE

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the c laimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, January 31, 2013. The claimant personally appeared and testified on his own behalf with his sister,

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the department properly de ny the claimant's applicat ion for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and Retroactive MA-P?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds a material fact:

- 1. On July 6, 2012, the claimant applied f or MA-P with a ret roactive application for MA to June 2012.
- On September 13, 2012, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the claimant's application for MA-P and re troactive MA-P stating that the claimant's impairment lacks the 12 m onth durational requirement per 2 0 CFR 416.909.
- 3. On September 24, 2012, the department caseworker sent the claimant a notice that his application was denied.
- 4. On October 4, 2012, the department received a hearing request from the claimant, contesting the department's negative action.

5. On December 6, 2012, the St ate Hearing Revi ew Team (SHRT) considered the submitted objective medical ev idence in making its determination of MA- P and retroactive MA-P e ligibility for the claimant. The SHRT report reads in part:

The claimant is alleging disab ility due to a hernia and kidney problems. He is 53 years old, has a 12 th grade education, and history of un skilled work. The medical evidence of record indica tes that the claimant's condition is improving or is expected to improve within 12 months from the date of onset or from the date of surgery. Therefore, MA-P is denied using per 20 CFR § 416.909. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied.

- 6. The claimant is a 53 year-o Id man whos e date of birth is September 6, 1959. The claimant is 5' 10" tall and weighs 171 pounds. The claimant has a high school education. The claimant did have special education classes. The claimant can read and write and do basic math of addition and try subtraction, but was not quick with multiplication and cannot do division. The claimant was last employed as a farm laborer at the heavy level in 20 10. The claimant has also been employed as a laborer.
- 9. The claimant's all eged impairments are hernia, left torn cartila ge in the knee, bone chips, enlarged prostate resulting in increased urination, and kidney failure requiring stents.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your m edical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expect ed to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled.

We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

... [The re cord must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

... Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (suc h as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);

(4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Sy** mptoms are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish t hat there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinic al diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behav ior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of medically ac ceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psy chological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effe cts of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sour ces may also help us to understand how y our impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or ment al impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less t han 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiologi cal, or psyc hological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laborat ory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

...Evidence that you submit or that we obtain may contain medical opinions. Medical op inions are statements from physicians and psyc hologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of your impairment(s), includ ing your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what you can still do despite impairment(s), and your physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

...In deciding whether you are disabled, we will alway some consider the medical opinions in your case record together with the rest of the relevant evidence we receive. 20 CFR 416.927(b).

After we review all of the evi dence relevant to your claim, including medical opinions, we make findings about what the evidence shows. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

...If all of the evidence we re ceive, inclu ding a II medica I opinion(s), is consistent, and there is sufficient evidence for us to decide whether you are disabled, we will make our determination or decision based on that evidence. 20 CF R 416.927(c)(1).

...If any of the evidence in y our case record, including any medical opinion(s), is inconsistent with other evidence or is internally inconsistent, we will weigh all of the evidence and see whether we can decide whether you are disabled based on the evidence we have. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(2).

[As Judge]...We are responsible for making the determination or decision ab out whether you meet the statutory definition of disability. In so doing, we review all of the medic al findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement that you are disabled.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean t hat we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...If you have an im pairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find y ou disabled wit hout considering your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

...If we cannot make a decision on your current work activities or medical facts alone and you have a severe impairment, we will then review your residual functional capacity and the physical and mental demands of the work you have done in the past. If you can still do this kind of work, we will find that you are not disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

If you cannot do any work you have done in the past because you have a severe impai rment(s), we will consider your residual functional capacit y and your age, educ ation, and past work experience to see if you can do other work. If you cannot, we will find you disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(f)(1).

...Your residual functional capacit y is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (control and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...This assessment of your remaining capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled, but is used as the basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment(s).... 20 CF R 416.945(a).

...In determining whether you ar e disabled, we will conside r all of your symptoms, including pain, and the extent to which your symptoms can reasonably be accepted as cons istent with objective medical eviden ce, and other evidence.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...In evaluating the intensity and persistence of your symptoms, inc luding pain, we will cons ider all of the available evidence, including your medical history, the medical signs and laboratory findings and statements about how your symptoms affect you... We will then determine the extent to which your allege diffunctional limitations or restrictions due to pain or other symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the medical signs and laboratory findings and other evidence to decide how your symptoms affect your ability to work.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairments of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental dem ands, sensory requirements, and other functions as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Resi dual functional capacity is an assessment based upon all of the relevant evidence. This assessment of your capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled but is used as a basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment. 20 CFR 416.945.

...When we assess your physical abilities, we first assess the nature and extent of your physical lim itations and then determine your residual functional capacity for work activity on a regular and continuing bas is. A limited ability to perform certain physical demands of work activity, such as sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, pulling, or other physical functions (including manipulative or postural functions, such as reaching, handling, stooping or crouching), may reduce your ability to do past work and other work. 20 CFR 416.945(b).

Federal regulations r equire that the department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In determining whether an indiv idual is disabled, 20 CFR 4 16.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity

of the impairment(s), residual f unctional c apacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are ass essed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disable ed c an be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if t he individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). At Step 1, the claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since 2010. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CF R 416.920(c). A sev ere impair ment is an impairment which significantly limits an in dividual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities means, the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions:
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second st ep in the sequential ev aluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6 th Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out clai and must this level a which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The and the severity requirement as a "de minimus hurdle" in the disability determination. The ade minimus standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

The objective medical evidence on the record further substantiates the following:

On June 9, 2012, the claimant was admitted to Covenant Health Care with a discharge date of June 26, 2012. The claimant's discharge diagnosis was acute renal failure with acute bilateral obstructive uropathy, acute hyperkalemia, hypertension, uncontrolled, benign prostatic hyperplasia, and acute blood loss anemia. The claimant underwent several procedures of Cysto, TURP, and bilateral stent placement. After procedures, renal function gradually improved and hematuria was resolved. The claimant continued

to do well and CBI was stopped. The c laimant was discharged in stab le condition. Department Exhibit 19-21.

At Step 2, the objective medica I evidence in the record indic ates that the claimant has not established that he has a severe impairment. The claimant was hospitalized in June 2012 for acute renal failure. His condition improved with procedures and treatment. He was discharged in st able condition. There were no new additional medical records submitted after the claimant's hospitalizations. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2. However, this Administrative Law Judge will proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine disability because Step 2 is a *de minimus* standard.

In the third step of the sequentia I consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Ap pendix 1 of Sub part P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d). This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's impairment is do not rise to the level necessary to be listed as disabling by law. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 3.

In the fourth step of the sequent ial consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (s) prevents claim ant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Admini strative Law Judge, based upon the medical eviden ce and objective, physical and psychological findings that the claimant does perform mo st of his daily living activities. The claimant felt that his condition was a little better in the pas t year but the same where he needed an ultrasound. The claimant st ated that he did not have a mental impairment. The claimant hobbies were gardening and helping friends. He felt he cold walk a 4 miles in 30 minutes. He could stand and sit for 60 mi nutes. The heaviest weight he thought he could lift was 25 pounds. The claimant does not smoke or drink or take illegal or illicit drugs. The claimant did not know if there was any work that he could do.

At Step 4, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant has not established that he cannot perform any of his prior work. The claimant was previously employed as a farm hand laborer at the heavy level and laborer. The claimant is capable of performing medium to light work. As a result, the claimant is capable of performing his past, relevant work. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4. However, the Administrative Law Judg e will still proceed th rough the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior jobs.

At Step 5, the claimant can meet the physical requirements of medium work, based upon the claimant's physical abilities. Under the Medi cal-Vocational guidelines, a closely approaching advanced aged individual with a high school education, and an unskilled work history, who is limited to medium work, is not considered disabled. 20

CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendi x 2, Rule 203.21. Using the Medical- Vocational guidelines as a framework for making this decision and after giving full consideration to the claimant's physical limitations, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant could perform simple, unskilled m edium work and that the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law decides that the department has appropriately established that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied the claimant's application for MA-P and retroactive MA-P. The claimant can perform his past relevant work and medium work. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 20, 2013

Date Mailed: February 20, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CGF/hj



