STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201345452

Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 6, 2013 County: Calhoun (21)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 6, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included (Eligibility Specialist).

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant received FAP benefits.
- On April 30, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which approved Claimant's monthly FAP amount for \$16.00 effective May 1, 2013.
- 3. On May 7, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, challenging the Department's calculation of her monthly FAP benefits. Claimant contends that the Department failed to include her medical expenses during the FAP calculation.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

For FAP purposes, all earned and unearned income available to an applicant or recipient is countable. BEM 500. The Department uses gross income when determining countable income. BEM 500. Gross income is the amount of income before any deductions such as taxes or garnishments. BEM 500. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives. BEM 500.

The Department determines a group's benefits for a month based, in part, on a prospective income determination. BEM 505. A best estimate of income expected to be received by the group during a specific month is determined and used in the budget computation. BEM 505. The Department will obtain input from the client whenever possible to establish this best estimate amount. BEM 505. The client's understanding of how income is estimated reinforces reporting requirements and makes the client an active partner in the financial determination process. BEM 505.

The Department's computer system known as "Bridges" will compute the average monthly income (and convert weekly and every other week amounts) based on the amounts and the number of months entered. BEM 505.

Bridges uses certain expenses to determine net income for FAP eligibility and benefit levels. BEM 554. For groups with **no** senior/disabled/disabled veteran (SDV) member, Bridges uses the following: (1) dependent care expense; (2) excess shelter up to the maximum in RFT 255; (3) court ordered child support and arrearages paid to non-household members. BEM 554. For groups **with** one or more SDV member, Bridges uses the following; see BEM 550: (1) dependent care expense; (2) excess shelter (3) court ordered child support and arrearages paid to non-household members; and (4) medical expenses for the SDV member(s) that exceed \$35. BEM 554.

The Department shall complete either a manually-calculated or Bridges budget to document expenses every time an expense change is reported. BEM 554. The Department **must** verify the responsibility to pay and the amount of certain expenses. BEM 554. The Department must document verification in the case record. BEM 554. The Department shall not budget expenses that require verification until the verification is provided. BEM 554. The Department must determine eligibility and the benefit level without an expense requiring verification if it cannot be verified. BEM 554. The Department may **not** include a medical expense that might be covered by a

reimbursement if the amount of the reimbursement cannot be verified. BEM 554. The Department treats subsequently provided verification from an eligible FAP group as a change. A supplement for lost benefits is issued **only** if the expense could **not** be verified within 30 days of the application and the local office was at fault. BEM 554.

Expenses are used from the same calendar month as the month for which the Department is determining benefits. BEM 554. **Expenses remain unchanged until** the FAP group reports a change. BEM 554.

Here, Claimant requested a hearing because she disputes the Department's calculation of the amount of her FAP benefits. Claimant contends that the Department failed to include her medical expenses when it calculated her \$16.00 monthly FAP allotment in April and May 2013. The Department, on the other hand, takes the position that Claimant did not report any medical expenses during the time period in question.

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). The weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997). Moreover, it is for the fact-finder to gauge the demeanor and veracity of the witnesses who appear before him, as best he is able. See, e.g., *Caldwell v Fox*, 394 Mich 401, 407; 231 NW2d 46 (1975); *Zeeland Farm Services, Inc v JBL Enterprises, Inc*, 219 Mich App 190, 195; 555 NW2d 733 (1996).

The record reveals that Claimant did not report any medical expense to the Department. Claimant states that the Department worker assigned to her case did not inform her that medical expenses must be included every month. Other than the medical expenses, Claimant did not dispute the Department's calculation of her income. BEM 554 prohibits the Department from budgeting an expense before it is verified. Because Claimant did not verify her medical expenses, the Department was not required to include them. It is Claimant's responsibility to inform the Department of her relevant expenses for purposes of FAP.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly determined Claimant's monthly FAP based on the verifications provided by Claimant.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/

C. Adam Purnell Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 6, 2013

Date Mailed: June 7, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CAP/aca

