#### MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:2013-43744Issue No:1038Case No:1038Hearing Date:May 30, 2013Macomb County DHS #12

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's Request for a Hearing was received on May 1, 2013. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, May 30, 2013. The claimant personally appeared and testified on her own behalf. The department was represented by **Exercise**, PATH.

#### <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department of H uman Servic es (Department) properly san ctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance wit h the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was a recipient of FIP benefits, which required her to participate in the PATH program.
- 2. On March 27, 2013, the claimant was being triaged because she failed to turn in her job search logs on March 26, 2013. Department Exhibit 4.
- 3. On April 19, 2013, the claimant was sent a Notice on Noncom pliance (DHS-2444) requesting a triage meeting on April 25, 2013. Department Exhibit 1-2.
- 4. The Depar tment conducted a t riage m eeting on April 25, 2013 where the claimant was a no call/no show for her triage meeting and the determination was made that the claim ant did not have goo d cause for not complying with the PATH requirements. Department Exhibit 3-4.

- 5. On April 19, 2013, the D epartment notified the Claimant that it would clos e the claimant's FIP benefits as of May 1, 2013. Department Exhibit 10-15.
- 6. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on May 1, 2013, protesting the closing of the claimant's FIP benefits.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, P ublic Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), Refe rence Table Manual (RF T), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states that cients must limited to 48 months to meet their fam responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support cause reasons, is initially shared by DHS The Partnership. Accountability T raining. Hope. (PATH) program requirements, education and training opportunities, and ass mandatory PATH participant is referred at application. BEM 229, page 1.

Federal and state laws require each work e ligible individual (WEI) in the FIP group to participate in Partnership. Accountability. Training. Hope. (PATH) or other employmentrelated activity unless temporarily defe rred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirem ents. These clients must participate in employment and/or selfsufficiency related activities to increas e their employability and obtain employment. velopment Agency, State of Michigan PATH is administer ed by the Workforce De through the Michigan one-stop service c enters. PATH serves employer s and job seekers for employers to have skilled wor kers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. PATH case managers us e the One-Stop Management Information System (OSMIS) to record the clients' assigned activities and participation. WEIs not referr ed to PATH will participat e in other activities to overcome be referred to PAT H or other employ ment service barriers so they may eventually provider. DHS must monitor these activities and record the client's participation in the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (F SSP). A WE I who refuses, without good cause. to participate in ass igned employ ment and/or other self-suffici ency related activities is subject to penalties. For more about pena Ities; see BEM 233A. See BEM 230B and BEM 233B for FAP employment requirements. BEM 230A, page 1.

# NONCOMPLIANCE WITH EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELF-SUFFICIENCY-RELATED ACTIVITIES

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. N oncompliance of applicant s, reci pients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

Failing or refusing to:

Appear and participate with PATH or other employment service provider.

Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) process.

The specialist should clear any alerts in Bridges rela ting to rejected PATH referrals and any FAST confirmation information the client has obtained before considering a client noncompliant for FAST completion.

Develop a FSSP.

A FSSP c ompletion appointment with the client must have been schedule d and the client failed to attend before c onsidering a client noncompliant for FSSP completion.

Comply with activities assigned on the FSSP.

Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.

Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.

Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.

Participate in required activity.

Accept a job referral.

Complete a job application.

Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).

Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.

Threatening, physically abusing or otherwis e behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or partici pating in an employ ment and/ or self-sufficiency-related activity.

Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. BEM 233A, pages 1-2.

The Department is required to send a DHS -2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self Sufficiency Related Noncompliance within noncompliance which must in clude the date of noncompliance e, the reason the client

was determined to be noncompliant, the penalty that will be imposed and the triage date within the negative action period. BEM 233A.

Good cause is a valid reason for nonc ompliance wit h employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. A claim of good c ause must be verified and doc umented for member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause , and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. BEM 233A.

Good cause should be determined based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or PAT H. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or ident ified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. BEM 233A.

The penalty for noncompliance without good caus e is FIP closure. Effective October 1, 2011, the following minimum penalties apply:

### NONCOMPLIANCE PENALTIE S FOR ACTIVE FIP INDI VIDUALS AND MEMBER ADDS

The penalty for noncomplianc e without good c ause is FIP EDG closure. Effective October 1, 2011, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the individual's fir st occurrence of nonc ompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than three calendar months.
- For the individual's second occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than six calendar months.
- For the individual's third occu rrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for a lifetime sanction. BEM, Item 233A.

The individual penalty counter begins April 1, 2007. Individual penalties se rved after October 1, 2011 will be added to the individual's existing penalty count.

Noncompliance, without good cause, with employment r equirements for FIP/RAP(SEE BEM 233A) may affect FAP if both progr ams were active on the date of the FIP noncompliance. BEM 233b. The FAP group member should be disqualified for noncompliance when all the following exist:

• The client was active bot h FIP and FAP on the date of the FIP noncompliance, and

- The client did not comply wit h FIP/RAP employment requirements, and
- The client is s ubject to a penalty on the FIP/RA P program, and
- The client is not deferred from FAP work requirements, and
- The c lient did not have good c ause for the noncompliance. BEM 233B.

The Department should budget the Last FIP grant amount on the FAP budget for the number of months that corresponds with the FIP penalty (either three months for the 1<sup>st</sup> noncompliance, 6 months for 2 <sup>nd</sup> nonc ompliance, and a lifetime for the third noncompliance) after the FIP case closes for employment and/or self sufficiency-related noncompliance. The Last FIP grant amount is the grant amount the client received immediately before the FIP case closed. BEM 233B.

The Claimant was an ongoing Family Independenc e Program (FIP) recipient. The Department had referred the claimant to the PATH program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. The Claimant was noncompliant with the PAT H program on March 27, 2013 because she failed to turn in her j ob search logs by March 26, 2013. T he Department conducted a triage meeting on April 25, 2013 and it was determined that the claimant did not have good c ause for noncompliance with the PATH program. The claimant was a no call/no show for her triage meeting.

During the hearing, the claimant stated that she did not rece ive the notice for the triage meeting on April 25, 2013. However, the notice was sent to the same address of 36757 Mapleridge St., Clinton Township, MI 48035, that all the other correspondence was sent to and the claimant received. In additi on, the department caseworker testified that the mail of the Notic e of Nonc ompliance was not returned as undeliverable by the Postal Service. The claimant testified that she had been given permission by her PATH worker to go on vacation, but the record did not reflect that. The PATH caseworker told the claimant that she still had to turn in her job search logs by Ma rch 26, 2013 and that she does not have vacation time while she is in the program. Department Exhibit 5-6.

The claimant had an issue getting back from her vacation where she did not get back in time to turn in her job search logs by March 26, 2013. As a result, the claim ant was in noncompliance with PATH for the second time. The department has met its burden that the claimant was noncompliant with PAT H for the second time, which resulted in her case closure for 6 months. If the claimant has a third noncompliance with PATH, then her FIP case will be closed for a lifetime.

Based on t he evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department's determination that the Claim ant did not have good cause for PATH noncompliance with

the PAT H program is reasonable. The Department has established that it acted properly when it closed the Claimant's FIP benefits for noncompliance with the PAT H program. This is the claimant's 2<sup>nd</sup> sanction where her FIP benefits will be cancelled for 6 months, but the claimant can reapply during the last month of her sanction.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the D epartment acted in accordance with policy when it sanctioned the Claimant's FIP case fo r noncomplianc e with the PATH program. This is the claimant's 2<sup>nd</sup> sanction where h er FIP benefits will b e cancelled for 6 months, but the claimant can reapply during the last month of her sanction.

The Department's FIP sanction is **AFFIRMED**.

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 5, 2013

Date Mailed: June 6, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Re Michigan Administrative hearings consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# CGF/hj

CC:

