

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 201343599

Issue No: 3008

Case No: [REDACTED]

Hearing Date: May 30, 2013

DHS SSPC WEST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne D. Sonneborn

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing received by the Department of Human Services (department) on April 22, 2013. After due notice, a hearing was held on May 30, 2013. Claimant appeared by three-way conference call and provided testimony. The department was represented by [REDACTED], an eligibility specialist with the department's Self Service Processing Center West office.

ISSUE

Whether the department properly denied Claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits for failure to return the required verification?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On March 19, 2013, Claimant applied for FAP benefits.
2. On March 26, 2013, the department issued Claimant a Verification Checklist (DHS 3503), requesting that Claimant provide verification of, among other things, her checking account and her alien status. The department advised Claimant that her failure to provide the requested information or call her specialist by April 5, 2013 may result in the denial, decrease, or cancellation of her benefits. (Department Exhibit 2)
3. Claimant provided the department with the required verifications by the April 5, 2013 deadline – however, Claimant's bank statement failed to include

the bank name or the account holder's name and Claimant's documentation of her alien status was not legible. (Department Exhibit 1)

4. On April 13, 2013, the department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS 1605), informing her that her application for FAP benefits had been denied due to her failure to provide the required verifications of her alien status and her checking account. (Department Exhibit 3)
5. On April 19, 2013, Claimant requested a hearing contesting the department's denial of her application for FAP benefits.
6. On April 22, 2013, Claimant submitted valid verification of her bank checking account; however, her new submittal of a copy of her alien status card was still not legible due to the quality of the document, therefore Claimant's FAP benefits case remained closed.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (2011), p. 1. The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in sections 400.901 to 400.951 of the Michigan Administrative Code (Mich Admin Code). An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. Mich Admin Code R 400.903(1).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) was established pursuant to the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.30001-3015. Department policies for the program are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Department policy indicates that clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility with all programs. BAM 105. This includes completion of the necessary forms. Clients who are able to but refuse to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. BAM 105. Clients must take actions within their ability to obtain verifications. BAM 130; BEM 702. Likewise, DHS local office staff must assist clients who ask for help in completing forms. BAM 130; BEM 702; BAM 105. Particular sensitivity must be shown to clients who are illiterate, disabled or not fluent in English. BAM 105.

Verification is usually required upon application or redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130. The department must allow a client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verification. BAM 130. If the client is unable to provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the department must extend the time limit at least once. BAM 130. Should the client indicate a refusal to provide a verification or, conversely, if the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it, the department may send the client a negative action notice. BAM 130.

In the instant case, Claimant is disputing the department's denial of her application for FAP benefits for failure to provide the requested verification of her alien status.

At the May 30, 2013 hearing, [REDACTED], the department's representative and Claimant's case specialist, acknowledged that Claimant made a reasonable effort to provide the required verification of her alien status, despite the fact that the information contained in each of the two submittals provided by Claimant was either difficult to read or completely illegible.

Claimant testified at the hearing that she believed she had done all that was required of her after learning that her first submittal of her alien status documentation was not legible and she thereafter had the document enlarged before resubmitting it to the department within the negative action period.

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). Moreover, the weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997).

This Administrative Law Judge has carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record and finds that, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence presented during the hearing, Claimant did indeed demonstrate a reasonable effort to provide the required verification of her alien status and the department therefore did not act in accordance with policy in denying Claimant's application for FAP benefits for failure to provide the requested verification.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department did not act in accordance with policy in denying Claimant's application for FAP benefits for failure to provide the requested verification. Accordingly, the department's action in this regard is **REVERSED** and the department shall immediately reinstate and reprocess Claimant's March 19, 2013 application for FAP benefits and issue supplement checks for any months she did not receive the correct amount of benefits if she was otherwise entitled to them.

It is SO ORDERED.

/s/

Suzanne D. Sonneborn
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 31, 2013

Date Mailed: May 31, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal this Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - Typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of Claimant;
 - The failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

201343599/SDS

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings System
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, MI 48909-07322

SDS/aca

cc:

