STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-42330 3015 May 22, 2013 Macomb #20		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G	6. Fahie			
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, Ma y 22, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Cla imant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.				
<u>ISSL</u>	<u>JE</u>			
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's applic ation ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t	he competent, materi	ial, and substantial		

	idence on the whole record, finds as material fact:
1.	Cla imant
	 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).
2.	On April 10, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
3.	On April 10, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)

	notice of the \square denial. \boxtimes closure. \square reduction.
4.	On April 22, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the ridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is dministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
R6 42 A6 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 2 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective ctober 1, 1996.
pr im Re Aq	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) rogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is applemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 00.3001-3015.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance r disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 00.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
ar 19 Th ar	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 190.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the claimant was a recipien t of FAP benefits. The c laimant had a redetermination due. The c laimant and his wife wor ks for Chemcast Corporation and have earned employ ment income that must be counted in determining FAP eligibility. Department Exhibit 4-16. The claimant's son, M, is a full time student at Wayne State University. Even though M has a part time j ob, he does not work 20 hours per week as

is required to be eligible fo r FAP benefits. Department Exhi b 18-20. As a result, M is excluded from the FAP household group. As a result of excess income, the claimant's FAP case was closed. The claimant and his wife had earned income from employment of \$ for a group size of 3. His post earned inc ome deduction income (PEIDI) was \$ which resulted from his net earned inc ome of \$ minus the earned income deduction of \$ deductions from his PEIDI of \$ of a \$ standard deduction for an adjusted gross income of \$ The claimant was given a total shelter amount of \$ from a housing expense of \$ and heat and utility standard of \$ The claimant was given an excess shelter ded uction of \$ with a tota I shelter amount of \$ T he claimant had a net income of minus 50% of adjusted gross income of \$ which was the adjusted gross inco me of \$ minus the exc ess shelter With a net income of \$ deduction of \$ for a household group size of 3 for a maximum benefit of \$ minus 30% of net inco me of \$ resulting in a net benefit amount of Department Exhibit 21-23. rden that the claimant had exc ess income for FAP The department has met its bu benefits and properly closed his FAP case. BEM 245, 550, 554, and 556. Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess income, the Department properly | improperly denied Claimant's application reduced Claimant's benefits Closed Claimant's case for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ⊠ did act properly did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. /s/ Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director

Date Signed: May 28, 2013

Date Mailed: May 28, 2013

Department of Human Services

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- · the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

