STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201341891

Issue No.: 3002 Case No.:

Hearing Date: May 21, 2013

County: Monroe

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 21, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist (ES)

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine the Claimant's monthly FAP allotment?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant was an ongoing recipient of monthly FAP benefits.
- On April 3, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action informing the Claimant that her monthly FAP allotment had been reduced to \$
- On April 12, 2013, the Department received the Claimant's written hearing request protesting the reduction in her monthly FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). ☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. ☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

In this case, the Department's worker who took action on the case was not present at the hearing and there was no FAP budget in evidence. Though it is logical that the Claimant's FAP allotment would decrease due to her shelter expense being removed from the budget, there is no FAP budget in evidence to establish what was considered for income and what other expenses were or were not counted. Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 554 (2012) and BEM 550 provide that income and allowable expenses be budgeted. The FAP budget is a critical piece of evidence to be considered when determining whether or not the Claimant's income and allowable expenses have been properly accounted for. As there is no FAP budget in evidence, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the evidence is insufficient to establish that the Department was acting in accordance with its policy when taking action to reduce the Claimant's monthly FAP allotment.

DECISION AND ORDER

of Law finds	trative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly when to reduce the Claimant's monthly FAP allotment.
	the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision $ ilde{\sf MED}$ REVERSED.
	ARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
1. 2.	Initiate action to redetermine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP back to May 1, 2013 based on a budget which can be produced if necessary, and Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplement she may thereafter be due.
Data Signadi	/s/ Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed:	3/2Z/13

Date Mailed: 5/23/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

