## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-42030 3008 May 23, 2013 SSPC-West	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, Ma y 23, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the c laimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification req uirements, did the Department properly ☑ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
	_	assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? y Relief (SER)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:			
Cla imant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐     SER.	FIP ⊠FAP □M/	A □SDA □CDC □	
2. Cla imant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Ve	erification Checkli	ist (DHS-3503).	
3. Claimant was required to submit requested ver	ification by March	11, 2013.	
<ul> <li>4. On March 20, 2013, the Department</li> <li>☑ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>			

201342030/CGF			
reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.			
<ul> <li>5. On March 20, 2013, the Department sent notice of the   ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>			
6. On April 12, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Persona Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.			
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc is Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.			
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M Cl 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.			
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult sand children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.			
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is estable ished by 2 004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by, 1999 AC, R			

## 201342030/CGF

400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Departm Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).	ent polic ies are found in the State
Additionally, the claimant failed to turn in his baverification, and veteran's compensation benefolaimant's FAP application was denied. The c BAM 115. BEM 212, 503, 400, 554.	its by the due date. As a result, the
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Costated on the record, the Administrative Law Ju	
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>	
DECISION AN	D ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record idea act properly. ☐ did not act properly	rd, finds that the Department
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is reasons stated on the record.	☐ REVERSED for the
	/s/
	Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge
	For Maura Corrigan, Director
Date Signed: May 28, 2013	Department of Human Services
Date Mailed: May 28, 2013	

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CGF/hj

