STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	TIL	A A	TTC	\mathbf{n}	~ E.
IN	ΙН	MΑ	TTE	ĸ	JF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-41280 3020 May 16, 2013 St. Joseph County DHS			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fa	ıhie				
HEARING DECI	SION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administ rate and MCL 400.37 following Claim—ant's request to telephone hearing was held on Thursday, Ma Participants on behalf of Cla—imant included the Department of Human Services (Department) included APSup.	or a hearing. Afte y 16, 2013 from L claima <u>nt. Particip</u>	er due notice, a ansing, Michigan.			
ISSUE					
Did Claim ant receive an overissuance of progentitled to recoup?	ram benefits that	the Department is			
FINDINGS OF F	ACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
 During the period of July 1, 2012 thr ough Apr for: 	il 30, 2013, Cla im	ant received benefits			
		Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			
 The Department determined that Claimant rec ☐ FIP FAP MA SDA CDC overis the period of July 1, 2012 through April 30, 20 	suance in the am	ount of \$3,156 during			
3. The overissuance was due to ⊠ Departme	ent error.	ent error.			

- 4. On March 21, 2013, the Department se nt notice of the overissuance and a repayment agreement to Claimant.
- 5. On April 9, 2013, Claim ant filed a hearing request, pr otesting the Department's recoupment action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
∑ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.300 1 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally the claimant applied for FAP on July 17, 2012 where, he reported that he

was receiving \$ every two (2) weeks in unemployment compensation benefits (ucb).

Department Exhibit 9. The ucb income was not budgeted due to department error. In addition, the claimant reported on Septem ber 28, 2013 that the claimant had gotten married and that his wife was employed. Department Exhibit 10a-b. However, the changes of his marriage and his wife's employment income were not processed until March 21, 2013 due to department error. As a result of department error, the claimant received an overissuance of FAP benefits during the contested time period of that the department is required recoup due to department's error. Department Exhibit 12a-b, 13a-e, 14a-c, 15a-f, and 16a-c. BEM 550, 554, and 556.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that Claimant
did not receive the overissuance for which the Department presently seeks recoupment.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/s/ Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: May 21, 2013
Date Mailed: May 21, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

